

Judging criteria

	Startup Innovation Prize	Interdisciplinary Innovation Prize	Research Innovation Prize
1	Definition of issue or problem identified	Definition of issue or problem identified	Definition of issue or problem identified
2	Process and strategy for the development of their ideas and use of resources	Originality of concept or solution	Originality of concept, solution or process
3	Originality of solution	Integration of interdisciplinary contributions and / or ways of thinking	Innovative translation of research knowledge or processes for a real-world application
4	Demonstration of commercialisation and / or future implementation strategy	Clarity, structure and organisation of submission and pitch	Clarity, structure and organisation of submission and pitch
5	Clarity, structure and organisation of submission and pitch		

Startup Innovation Prize	0	1	2	3
Definition of issue or problem identified	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	Provides a basic definition of the problem or issue	Provides an informative definition of the problem or issue, explains how it is situated in a context and why it matters.	Identifies and appropriately frames the problem or issue within a context, gives a detailed and clear definition of the problem or issue, explains why this problem or issue matters, includes need finding e.g. through consulting users/customers.
Process and strategy for the development of ideas and use of resources	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	Follows an organised strategy that uses a combination of existing processes, well adapted for the given context.	Follows an organised strategy that uses existing documented processes, and a reflective execution within the given context. Shows some reflection and adjustment during the process.	Follows an organised strategy that goes beyond previously documented processes, and reflective execution and evaluation within the given context. Involves thorough reflection and appropriate adjustment during the process.
Originality of solution	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	The solution shows original aspects that are practical and/or contextualised (i.e. relevant to a specific context and user/customer group), but involves some elements that might be difficult to achieve in practice.	The solution is original and appropriately contextualised (i.e. relevant to a specific context and user/customer group), and clearly feasible from a practical perspective.	The solution is original, feasible and contextualised (i.e. relevant to a specific context and user/customer group) in unique and novel ways.
Demonstration of commercialisation and / or future implementation strategy	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	The submission demonstrates a proof of concept.	The submission demonstrates a prototype with some usable functionalities.	The submission demonstrates a working prototype with a clear strategy for future expansion.
Clarity, structure and organisation of submission and pitch	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	Ideas are structured and organised, and information is presented logically and clearly – but overall the presentation left some gaps.	Ideas are structured and organised in a persuasive way, and information is presented logically and clearly.	Ideas are structured and organised, and presented logically and in a persuasive way. Information is presented to enhance communication of the ideas.

Interdisciplinary Innovation Prize	0	1	2	3
Definition of issue or problem identified	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	Provides a basic definition of the problem or issue	Provides an informative definition of the problem or issue, explains how it is situated in a context and why it matters.	Identifies and appropriately frames the problem or issue within a context, gives a detailed and clear definition of the problem or issue, explains why this problem or issue matters, includes need finding e.g. through consulting users/customers.
Originality of concept or solution	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	The concept/solution shows original aspects that are practical and/or contextualised (i.e. relevant to a specific context and user/customer group), but involves some elements that might be difficult to achieve in practice.	The concept/solution is original and appropriately contextualised (i.e. relevant to a specific context and user/customer group), and clearly feasible from a practical perspective.	The concept/solution is original, feasible and contextualised (i.e. relevant to a specific context and user/customer group) in unique and novel ways.
Integration of interdisciplinary contributions and / or ways of thinking	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	Demonstrates the input of multiple disciplines to form a collaborative solution.	Demonstrates the input of multiple disciplines to form a collaborative solution that includes goals, development, processes and desired outcomes.	Demonstrates the input of multiple disciplines to form a collaborative solution that includes goals, development, processes and desired outcomes. Utilises the team's discipline knowledge, strengths, limitations, and opportunities in clever and innovative ways.
Clarity, structure and organisation of submission and pitch	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	Ideas are structured and organised, and information is presented logically and clearly – but overall the presentation left some gaps.	Ideas are structured and organised in a persuasive way, and information is presented logically and clearly.	Ideas are structured and organised, and presented logically and in a persuasive way. Information is presented to enhance communication of the ideas.

Research Innovation Prize	0	1	2	3
Definition of issue or problem identified	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	Provides a basic definition of the problem or issue	Provides an informative definition of the problem or issue, explains how it is situated in a context and why it matters.	Identifies and appropriately frames the problem or issue within a context, gives a detailed and clear definition of the problem or issue, explains why this problem or issue matters, includes need finding e.g. through consulting users/customers.
Originality of concept, solution or process	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	The concept/solution/process shows original aspects that are practical and/or contextualised (i.e. relevant to a specific context and user/customer group), but involves some elements that might be difficult to achieve in practice.	The concept/solution/process is original and appropriately contextualised (i.e. relevant to a specific context and user/customer group), and clearly feasible from a practical perspective.	The concept/solution/process is original, feasible and contextualised (i.e. relevant to a specific context and user/customer group) in unique and novel ways.
Innovative translation of research knowledge or processes for a real-world application	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	The submission describes research knowledge (developed through the project) that has a clear, yet hypothetical application potential.	The submission describes research knowledge (developed through the project) and how it has been applied to develop / in the form of a proof-of-concept, demonstrating its potential for real-world applications.	The submission describes research knowledge (developed through the project) and how it has been applied to develop / in the form of a real-world application.
Clarity, structure and organisation of submission and pitch	Insufficient detail provided to assess this criterion	Ideas are structured and organised, and information is presented logically and clearly – but overall the presentation left some gaps.	Ideas are structured and organised in a persuasive way, and information is presented logically and clearly.	Ideas are structured and organised, and presented logically and in a persuasive way. Information is presented to enhance communication of the ideas.