

**SURG5050 Part A Literature Review**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Fail (Below 50%)</b>	<b>Pass (50-64)</b>	<b>Credit (65-74)</b>	<b>Distinction (75-84)</b>	<b>High distinction (85+)</b>	<b>Weighting</b>
Background	Minimal background provided to justify research topic	Superficial but satisfactory background provided on research topic	Adequate background provided on research topic	Logical, referenced background that justifies research topic	Detailed and referenced background that justifies research topic	15%
Research question	No research question, vague focus of review	Research question stated with minimal support from the literature	Research question stated with identification of a gap in the literature	Research question stated with adequate justification from the available literature identifying a research gap	Research question stated with comprehensive justification from the available literature identifying a research gap	10%
Structure and content	Unstructured literature review, doesn't flow logically, limited exploration of the topic	Logical literature review structure with superficial exploration of the research question	Well-written and logical literature review structure with adequate exploration of the research question	Well-written and methodical literature review with a superior exploration of the research question	Detailed and concise literature review approached and presented in a methodical, systematic and logical way	25%
Synthesis and summary of findings	Assertions/conclusions are not supported by the presented literature	Assertions/conclusions are satisfactorily supported by the presented literature	Appropriate assertions/conclusions are substantially supported by the presented literature	Appropriate assertions/conclusions are synthesised and logically justified by the literature	Thoughtful and appropriate assertions/conclusions are comprehensively synthesised and logically justified by the literature	25%
Writing quality	Poor quality writing, difficult to read	Satisfactory writing quality, several spelling/grammar errors	Good quality scientific writing, several spelling/grammar errors	High quality scientific writing, minor spelling/grammar errors	Superior scientific writing, minimal spelling/grammar errors	15%
References	Minimal references used, poorly utilised and/or of uniformly poor quality	An adequate number of references are satisfactorily used	Review is well referenced in an appropriate manner	Review is well referenced in an appropriate manner	Comprehensive literature is referenced appropriately	10%