# A big data approach to predicting grain crop yield

**Presented by:** Liana Johnson



#### Other team members:

- Edward Jones
- Thomas Bishop
- Niranjan Acharige

- Sanjeewani Dewage
- Patrick Filippi
- Sabastine Ugbaje

- Thomas Jephcott
- Stacey Paterson
- Brett Whelan

## A problem

- Farms across Australia have large amounts of unused data
- This data may be difficult to utilise to make management decisions
  - $\rightarrow$  Different formats and located in a variety of repositories
  - ightarrow Different spatial and temporal resolutions







## How can we transform all of these disparate data streams into something useful, and then inform management decisions?





## An opportunity

- Hackathon run by CSIRO and Lawson Grains
- Provided us with an abundance of spatial agricultural data ( $\sim$ 15, 000 ha)
  - Yield
  - EM and gamma surveys
  - Management data
  - Soil tests



- There is a also a lot of publicly available spatio-temporal environmental data
  - Rainfall, soil map of Australia, remote sensing etc.





## Available spatial and temporal data

#### Provided farmer data:

- Yield 10 m (space & time)
- Radiometrics 10 m (space)
- EM surveys 10 m (space)
- Soil test results (space & time)

#### **Project-created data**

- Clay and sand soil maps - 10 m (space)

#### Publicly-available data:

- TERN soil maps ~ 90m (space)
- NDVI 250 m & 16 day (space & time)
- Rainfall forecasts monthly (time)
- Rainfall received 5km & daily (space & time)





Hakea Sand % 0-50 cm



## Approach: Our predictive model

- Using this farmer data and publicly-available datasets, we created a model to predict the yield for these three crops in the production rotation:
  - Wheat
  - Barley
  - Canola
- Modelling method: Machine learning (Random Forest)

ightarrow Data-driven rather than mechanistic

 The idea is to use the data from <u>all</u> fields and years to predict yield within each individual field for a farm





### Modelling for decision support

- <u>3</u> different predictive yield models for <u>3</u> important time points to inform key management decisions:
  - **1. APRIL MODEL** 
    - » To provide suggestions for variable sowing N rates
  - 2. JULY MODEL
    - » To provide suggestions for variable top-dress N rates
  - **3. SEPTEMBER MODEL** 
    - » To determine final yield prediction
- More data becomes available as the season progresses





## **Results –** Map example

### 10 m resolution Hakea - July 2015



### Results – paddock resolution model assessment

- 1. Predict yield within a paddock, all years of previous yield data excluded
- 2. Predict yield within a paddock, previous yield data included

| TIME                      | APRIL       |      | JULY        |      | SEPTEMBER   |      |
|---------------------------|-------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|
| CV PADDOCK PREDICTIONS    | RMSE (t/ha) | LCCC | RMSE (t/ha) | LCCC | RMSE (t/ha) | LCCC |
| 1) Without previous yield | 0.64        | 0.19 | 0.63        | 0.20 | 0.62        | 0.27 |
| 2) With previous yield    | 0.42        | 0.89 | 0.39        | 0.91 | 0.36        | 0.92 |



- BEST MODELS
- > LCCC of 1 characterises a perfect fit
- Including previous data from the prediction paddock results in a better prediction
- > Models are very good



We have a model that predicts yield, but how can we make this <u>useful</u> and <u>user-friendly</u> for growers and consultants to inform management decisions?





### Answer: Our user interface







### Conclusions

- We used large amounts of agricultural and environmental data to:
  - accurately predict wheat, barley and canola yield across a collection of farms
  - developed a user-friendly application for farmers to aid key management decisions

#### What next?

- More data, more accurate predictions- model will improve over time

→ potential to integrate fine spatial resolution remote sensing (drones, Landsat, Sentinel etc.)

- With more consistent data collection it will be useful for:





