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#### Abstract

The kinematic dynamo problem is solved for a uniformly electrically-conducting fluid filling an oblate spheroidal volume with insulating exterior. The solution method uses a class of oblate spheroidal toroidalpoloidal fields in a non-orthogonal coordinate system. Scaling of coordinates and fields to a spherical geometry leads to a modified form of the kinematic dynamo problem with a geometric anisotropic diffusion and a modified current-free condition in the exterior, which must be solved explicitly. The scaling allows the use of well-developed spherical harmonic techniques in angle and large aspect ratios. Dynamo solutions are found for three axisymmetric flows in oblate spheroids with semi-axis ratios $1 \leq a / c \leq 25$. For larger aspect ratios strong magnetic fields may occur in any region of the spheroid, depending on the flow, but the external fields for all three flows are weak and concentrated near the axis or periphery of the spheroid.
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## 1 Introduction

The generation of magnetic fields in the Earth, planets and stars can be modelled to a good approximation as self-exciting dynamos in electrically-conducting spherical or spherical shell cores with insulating exteriors. Fortunately, spheres and spherical shells are the bounded conductors with insulating exteriors, for which kinematic dynamos (Bullard and Gellman, 1954, Elsasser, 1946, Gubbins, 1973, Pekeris et al., 1973), and dynamically consistent dynamos (Glatzmaier and Roberts, 1995, Kageyama and Sato, 1995, Kuang and Bloxham, 1997), are the most mathematically and numerically tractable geometries, although still difficult (Christensen et al, 2001, Jackson et al, 2014, Jones et al., 2011, Jones, 2011, Marti et al, 2014, Matsui et al, 2016). The simplest aspherical geometry is spheroidal with one axis of arbitrary rotational symmetry. The Earth's core is a sphere at zeroth order and an oblate spheroid at second order, with finer-scale core-mantle boundary topography at higher orders. Spheroidal dynamos allow pressure coupling between the core and the mantle in dynamical dynamos and offer checks on methods for more general topography. Spheroidal dynamos of large semi-axis ratio, i.e. highly non-spherical, constitute an important class of dynamo geometries for elliptic and disk galaxies, and accretion disks; for example, E7-elliptical galaxies have major to minor semi-axis ratio $a / c=10 / 3$. Galactic models are typically $\alpha \omega$-dynamos and use the thin disk approximation $a / c \rightarrow \infty$. See for example Stix (1975), White (1978), Soward (1978).

A spheroidal finite-element code has been developed by Wu and Roberts (2009), and finite-volume codes by Ernst-Hullermann et al. (2013) and Vantieghem et al. (2016). The aim of this work is to separately develop pseudo-spectral numerical solutions of the insulated kinematic dynamo problem (KDP), the rotating thermal convection problem and the rotating magneto-convection problem in spheroids and then to combine them to solve the dynamical dynamo problem. Pseudo-spectral codes generally perform more efficiently in spherical benchmarks for a given accuracy than finite-element and finite-volume codes (Christensen et al, 2001, Jackson et al, 2014, Jones et al., 2011, Marti et al, 2014, Matsui et al, 2016), but there is no best approach. A hybrid method for the insulated KDP is presented here, which is finite-difference in radius and Galerkin in angle. Galerkin or Cheychev collocation methods can also be used in radius.

The dynamo action of a moving electrically conducting fluid, which occupies an oblate spheroidal volume $V$ in (Euclidean) space $E^{3}$, is considered. The velocity $\mathbf{v}$ of the fluid is prescribed. The volume $V$ has semi-axes $a$ and $c(a \geq c)$, a rigid boundary $\Sigma$ and is surrounded by an insulating exterior $E^{3} \backslash V$. The magnetic induction field $\mathbf{B}$ is governed by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}=\nabla^{2} \mathbf{B}+R_{\mathrm{m}} \nabla \times(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \quad \text { in } V ; \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0} \quad \text { in } E^{3} \backslash V ; \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0 \quad \text { in } E^{3} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the problem has been non-dimensionalised using a typical length $\mathcal{L}$, the magnetic diffusion time $\mathcal{L}^{2} / \eta$ and a typical speed $\mathcal{V}$ of the flow. The magnetic diffusivity $\eta$ is uniform and $R_{\mathrm{m}}:=\mathcal{V} \mathcal{L} / \eta$ is the magnetic Reynolds number. Useful choices for the length scale $\mathcal{L}$ are the major or minor semi-axes of the spheroidal boundary $\Sigma$, the radius of the sphere of volume $|V|=\frac{4}{3} \pi a^{2} c$ or the radius of the circle of area $\pi a c$, which imply respectively $a=1$ and $0<c \leq 1, c=1$ and $1 \leq a<\infty, c=1 / a^{2}$ and $1 \leq a<\infty$ or $c=1 / a$ and $1 \leq a<\infty$. In cartesian coordinates $(x, y, z)$ with the $z$-axis aligned along the symmetry axis of the spheroid, the boundary $\Sigma$ and outward (non-unit) normal $\mathbf{n}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{z^{2}}{c^{2}}=1, \quad \mathbf{n}:=\left(x \mathbf{1}_{x}+y \mathbf{1}_{y}\right) / a^{2}+z \mathbf{1}_{z} / c^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector field $\mathbf{n}$ is defined and smooth everywhere in $E^{3}$, not only on $\Sigma$. Besides the magnetic Reynolds number, a dimensionless shape parameter must be prescribed: the most useful are the aspect ratio $a / c$ or its reciprocal, the flattening $f:=1-c / a$ and the ellipticity $e:=\sqrt{1-(c / a)^{2}}$. The flattening and ellipticity are related by $f=1-\sqrt{1-e^{2}}$ and $e=\sqrt{2 f-f^{2}}$. Shape can also be parametrised by a semi-axis: if the volume is fixed so that $a^{2} c=1$, then $a / c=a^{3}=c^{-3 / 2}$ and $e=\sqrt{1-a^{-6}}=\sqrt{1-c^{3}}$; if $a=1$ then $a / c=1 / c$ and $e=\sqrt{1-c^{2}}$; or if $c=1$, then $a / c=a$ and $e=\sqrt{1-1 / a^{2}}$.

The tangential components of $\mathbf{B}$ are continuous across $\Sigma$ and $\mathbf{B}$ is self-exciting,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}]_{\Sigma}=\mathbf{0}, \quad[\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}]_{\Sigma}=0, \quad \mathbf{B}=\mathcal{O}\left(|\mathbf{r}|^{-3}\right) \quad \text { as }|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where []$_{\Sigma}$ denotes the jump outward across $\Sigma$ and $\mathbf{r}=x \mathbf{1}_{x}+y \mathbf{1}_{y}+z \mathbf{1}_{z}$ is the radius vector from the origin. The flow $\mathbf{v}$ satisfies the mass conservation equation and the impenetrable boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+R_{\mathrm{m}} \nabla \cdot(\rho \mathbf{v})=0, \quad \text { in } V ; \quad \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}=0, \quad \text { on } \Sigma \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathbf{v}$ is incompressible (1.4) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}=0, \quad \text { in } V \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $\S 2$ classes of oblate spheroidal toroidal and poloidal fields analogous to the spherical classes are defined to reduce to two the number of fields necessary to represent a solenoidal magnetic field or an incompressible velocity. A further non-essential simplification is to scale the KDP to a spherical geometry. The sphericallyscaled KDP produces equations with an anisotropic geometric magnetic diffusion in $V$, analogous to the anisotropic turbulent diffusion models considered by Phillips and Ivers (2000, 2003), and an anisotropic current-free condition in the insulating exterior. Numerical solution using spherical harmonic expansions in the homoeoidal angles [see (2.1)] analogous to the Bullard-Gellman equations (Bullard and Gellman, 1954) is described in $\S 3$. Results are given in $\S 4$ for magnetic free-decay and compared to the analytic solutions for aspect ratios $1 \leq a / c \leq 100$ in the axisymmetric case and small ellipticity in the non-axisymmetric case. Critical magnetic Reynolds numbers of three spheroidal dynamos also are found for $1 \leq a / c \leq 25$ based on three spherical axisymmetric dynamos of Dudley and James (1989). Alternative discretisations of the insulating exterior are considered in $\S 5$. Section 6 is the conclusion.

## 2 A Class of Oblate Spheroidal Toroidal-Poloidal Fields

Introduce homeoidal oblate $(a \geq c)$ spheroidal coordinates $(r, \theta, \phi)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=a r \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad y=a r \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad z=c r \cos \theta . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq r<\infty, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ and $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle. Note: $r$ is not $|\mathbf{r}|$. If $(r, \theta, \phi)$ are the coordinates of a point $P(x, y, z)$, then $P$ lies on the oblate spheroidal $r$-surface $\Sigma(r)$,

$$
\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{z^{2}}{c^{2}}=r^{2} .
$$

Moreover, if $Q$ is the projection of $P$ parallel to the $z$-axis, onto the sphere of radius $a r$ escribed on the spheroid, then $\theta$ is the angle $\angle z O Q$. The spheroid (1.2) corresponds to $r=1$, i.e. $\Sigma(1)$ is $\Sigma$. The meridional sections of the $r$-surfaces are concentric homeoidal ellipses of equal ellipticity $e$. Their foci lie on the azimuthal circle of radius aer in the equatorial plane $z=0$, so the $\Sigma(r)$ are not confocal, and aer $\rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

The coordinate system $(r, \theta, \phi)$ is not orthogonal if $a \neq c$. There are two reciprocal sets of basis vectors associated with $(r, \theta, \phi)$, the covariant basis $\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right):=\left(\partial_{r} \mathbf{r}, \partial_{\theta} \mathbf{r}, \partial_{\phi} \mathbf{r}\right)$, and the contravariant basis $\left(\mathbf{e}^{1}, \mathbf{e}^{2}, \mathbf{e}^{3}\right):=(\nabla r, \nabla \theta, \nabla \phi)$. The bases $\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{e}^{1}, \mathbf{e}^{2}, \mathbf{e}^{3}\right)$ are related by the usual reciprocity relations, $\mathbf{e}_{i}=\frac{1}{2} J \epsilon_{i j k} \mathbf{e}^{j} \times \mathbf{e}^{k}$ and $\mathbf{e}^{i}=\frac{1}{2} J^{-1} \epsilon^{i j k} \mathbf{e}_{j} \times \mathbf{e}_{k}$, where $J=\partial(x, y, z) / \partial(r, \theta, \phi)=a^{2} c r^{2} \sin \theta$ is the Jacobian of the transformation (2.1), repeated indices are summed and $\epsilon_{i j k}, \epsilon^{i j k}$ are the unit rank-3 alternating tensors. The bases satisfy the bi-orthogonality condition, $\mathbf{e}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{j}=\delta_{i}^{j}$. At any point on $\Sigma(r)$, $\mathbf{e}^{1}$ is normal to $\Sigma(r)$, and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ are tangential; $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ is not parallel to $\mathbf{e}^{1}$ and hence not normal to $\Sigma(r)$ except along principal axes. In fact, $\mathbf{n}=\nabla \frac{1}{2} r^{2}=r \mathbf{e}^{1}$. The vector element of surface area on $\Sigma(r)$ is $\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(r)=\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{e}_{3} \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi=J \mathbf{e}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi=\mathbf{n} a^{2} c r \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi$ and $\mathrm{d} \Sigma(r)=|\mathbf{n}| a^{2} c r \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi$. The volume element $\mathrm{d} V=a^{2} c r^{2} \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi=|\mathbf{n}|^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \Sigma(r) r \mathrm{~d} r$. The operator $\nabla=\mathbf{e}^{1} \partial_{r}+\mathbf{e}^{2} \partial_{\theta}+\mathbf{e}^{3} \partial_{\phi}$.

The two bases have the important derivative properties, $\nabla \cdot\left(J^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)=0$ and $\nabla \times \mathbf{e}^{i}=\mathbf{0}$. Thus, the divergence of a vector field $\mathbf{F}$ in terms of its contravariant components, $\mathbf{F}=F^{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+F^{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}+F^{3} \mathbf{e}_{3}$, is given by

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}=J^{-1}\left\{\partial_{r}\left(J F^{1}\right)+\partial_{\theta}\left(J F^{2}\right)+\partial_{\phi}\left(J F^{3}\right)\right\}
$$

Let $\mathbf{e}_{r}:=\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{\theta}:=\mathbf{e}_{2} / r, \mathbf{e}_{\phi}:=\mathbf{e}_{3} / r \sin \theta$ and $\mathbf{B}=B_{r} \mathbf{e}_{r}+B_{\theta} \mathbf{e}_{\theta}+B_{\phi} \mathbf{e}_{\phi}$, then $\mathbf{B}$ is solenoidal if and only if $\partial_{r}\left(r^{2} \sin \theta B_{r}\right)+\partial_{\theta}\left(r \sin \theta B_{\theta}\right)+\partial_{\phi}\left(r B_{\phi}\right)=0$. By analogy with spherical polar coordinates, homoeoidal oblate spheroidal toroidal and poloidal fields can be defined component-wise by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}\{T\}:=\mathbf{e}_{\theta} \frac{\partial_{\phi} T}{\sin \theta}-\mathbf{e}_{\phi} \partial_{\theta} T, \quad \mathbf{S}\{S\}:=-\mathbf{e}_{r} \frac{\grave{\Lambda}^{2} S}{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\theta} \frac{\partial_{\theta} \partial_{r}(r S)}{r}+\mathbf{e}_{\phi} \frac{\partial_{\phi} \partial_{r}(r S)}{r \sin \theta} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sin ^{2} \theta \AA^{2} S=\sin \theta \partial_{\theta}\left(\sin \theta \partial_{\theta} S\right)+\partial_{\phi} \partial_{\phi} S$, such that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{T}\{T\}=0$ and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}\{S\}=0$. The magnetic field can thus be represented in $E^{3}$ as a sum of oblate-spheroidal toroidal and poloidal fields, $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{T}\{T\}+\mathbf{S}\{S\}$. For any $T, S$ and $r>0$ the fields $\mathbf{T}\{T\}$ and $\mathbf{S}\{S\}$ are orthogonal over $\Sigma(r)$ in a certain sense; see equation (2.9) below. The matching and self-exciting conditions (1.3) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
[S]_{\Sigma}=0, \quad\left[\partial_{r} S\right]_{\Sigma}=0, \quad[T]_{\Sigma}=0 ; \quad S=\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-2}\right), \quad T=\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-3}\right) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, since $a^{2} c \mathbf{e}^{2} \times \mathbf{e}^{3}=\mathbf{e}_{r} / r^{2} \sin \theta, a^{2} c \mathbf{e}^{3} \times \mathbf{e}^{1}=\mathbf{e}_{\theta} / r \sin \theta, a^{2} c \mathbf{e}^{1} \times \mathbf{e}^{2}=\mathbf{e}_{\phi} / r$,

$$
\mathbf{T}\{T\}=\nabla \times a^{2} c\left(T \nabla \frac{1}{2} r^{2}\right)=\nabla T \times a^{2} c \mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbf{S}\{S\}=\nabla \times a^{2} c\left(\frac{r \partial_{\phi} S}{\sin \theta} \mathbf{e}^{2}-r \sin \theta \partial_{\theta} S \mathbf{e}^{3}\right)
$$

In particular, $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{T}\{T\}=0$. Typically, $\nabla \times \mathbf{T}\{T\} \neq \mathbf{S}\{S\}$ for any $S$ and $\nabla \times \mathbf{S}\{S\} \neq \mathbf{T}\{T\}$ for any $T$. Physically, an oblate spheroidal toroidal (poloidal) magnetic field does not generate, nor is generated by, a purely oblate spheroidal poloidal (toroidal) electric current. If incompressible the velocity can also be represented as a sum of oblate spheroidal toroidal and poloidal fields, $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{T}\{t\}+\mathbf{S}\{s\}$, with potentials $t$ and $s$. (Only in $\partial_{t}$ and $e^{\gamma t}$ does $t$ represent the time.)

### 2.1 Spherically-Scaled Coordinates and Fields

It is possible to proceed in the basis $\left(\mathbf{e}_{r}, \mathbf{e}_{\theta}, \mathbf{e}_{\phi}\right)$, but it is easier to scale the cartesian coordinates, $\stackrel{\circ}{x}=x / a$, $\dot{y}=y / a$ and $\dot{z}=z / c$, and the components of the magnetic field and the velocity along principal axes of the spheroid (1.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}}=\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{L}, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}=\mathbf{L}^{-1} \cdot \nabla, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{L}, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}}=\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{L}, \quad \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{L} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}}:=\stackrel{\circ}{x} \mathbf{1}_{x}+\stackrel{\circ}{y} \mathbf{1}_{y}+\stackrel{\circ}{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}$ is the position vector in $(\stackrel{\circ}{x}, \stackrel{\circ}{y}, \stackrel{\circ}{z})$-space $\stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3}$, the diagonal scale tensor $\mathbf{L}:=$ $a^{-1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{x} \mathbf{1}_{x}+\mathbf{1}_{y} \mathbf{1}_{y}\right)+c^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}$ with inverse $\mathbf{L}^{-1}:=a\left(\mathbf{1}_{x} \mathbf{1}_{x}+\mathbf{1}_{y} \mathbf{1}_{y}\right)+c \mathbf{1}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}$ and the operator $\nabla:=\mathbf{1}_{x} \partial_{\grave{x}}+$ $\mathbf{1}_{y} \partial_{\grave{y}}+\mathbf{1}_{z} \partial_{z}$. The transformation is not simply a (passive) change of variable on the manifold $E^{3}$, since the same cartesian unit vectors are used in $\stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3}:\left(\mathbf{1}_{\grave{x}}, \mathbf{1}_{\grave{y}}, \mathbf{1}_{\check{z}}\right)=\left(\mathbf{1}_{x}, \mathbf{1}_{y}, \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)$. The scale tensor $\mathbf{L}$ can be separated into isotropic and anisotropic parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}=\frac{1}{a}\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{f}{1-f} \mathbf{1}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right), \quad \mathbf{L}^{-1}=a\left(\mathbf{I}-f \mathbf{1}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where I is the identity tensor. Note $|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|=r$ and $\grave{\Lambda}^{2}=\grave{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}} \cdot \grave{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$ where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}:=\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$. The stretching transforms the $r$-surface $\Sigma(r)$ from a spheroid into a sphere $\stackrel{\circ}{\Sigma}(r)$ of radius in $\dot{E}^{3}$; the image $\stackrel{\circ}{V}$ of the conducting fluid region
$V$ under the transformation is the sphere $r<1$ and the image of its boundary $\Sigma$ is the unit sphere ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{\Sigma}^{\circ}={ }^{\circ}(1)$ with normal $\stackrel{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}}$. The volume element $\mathrm{d} V=\partial(x, y, z) / \partial(\stackrel{\circ}{x}, \stackrel{\circ}{y}, \dot{z}) \mathrm{d} \dot{x} \mathrm{~d} \stackrel{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{d}} \dot{z}=a^{2} c \mathrm{~d} \stackrel{\circ}{V}$. Poincaré (1885) and Bryan (1889) used this device in the study of the equilibrium of rotating, self-gravitating homogeneous liquids. In general equations (2.4) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} ; \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} ; \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variables $(r, \theta, \phi)$ introduced in (2.1) are spherical polar coordinates in $\stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3}$. The basis $\left(\mathbf{e}_{r}, \mathbf{e}_{\theta}, \mathbf{e}_{\phi}\right)$ in $E^{3}$ is transformed into the orthonormal spherical polar basis $\left(\mathbf{1}_{r}, \mathbf{1}_{\theta}, \mathbf{1}_{\phi}\right)=\mathbf{L} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{r}, \mathbf{e}_{\theta}, \mathbf{e}_{\phi}\right)$ in $E^{3}$. Thus the scalar components of $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{r} \mathbf{1}_{r}+\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\theta}+\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\phi} \mathbf{1}_{\phi}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ with respect to ( $\mathbf{e}_{r}, \mathbf{e}_{\theta}, \mathbf{e}_{\phi}$ ) are equal, $\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{r}=B_{r}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\theta}=B_{\theta}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\phi}=B_{\phi}$, but different with respect to $\left(\mathbf{1}_{x}, \mathbf{1}_{y}, \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)$. Hence the oblate spheroidal toroidalpoloidal representation for the magnetic field becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{L} \cdot(\mathbf{T}\{T\}+\mathbf{S}\{S\})=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}\{T\}+\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}\{S\}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times T \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}}+\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times S \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus oblate spheroidal toroidal (poloidal) fields are scaled to spherical toroidal (poloidal) fields in $\dot{E}^{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}\{T\}=\mathbf{1}_{\theta} \frac{\partial_{\phi} T}{\sin \theta}-\mathbf{1}_{\phi} \partial_{\theta} T, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}\{S\}=-\mathbf{1}_{r} \frac{\grave{\Lambda}^{2} S}{r}+\mathbf{1}_{\theta} \frac{\partial_{\theta} \partial_{r}(r S)}{r}+\mathbf{1}_{\phi} \frac{\partial_{\phi} \partial_{r}(r S)}{r \sin \theta} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions $\oint S \mathrm{~d} \Omega=0$ and $\oint T \mathrm{~d} \Omega=0$, where $\mathrm{d} \Omega:=\sin \theta \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{d} \phi$ is the element of solid angle on $\stackrel{\circ}{\Sigma}(r)$, are imposed to ensure uniqueness of $S$ and $T$. For all $T, S$ and $r>0, \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}\{T\}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}\{S\}$ are orthogonal on $\Sigma(r)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint \mathbf{T}\{T\} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{S}\{S\} \frac{\mathrm{d} \Sigma(r)}{|\mathbf{n}|}=a^{2} c r \oint \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}\{T\} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}\{S\} \mathrm{d} \Omega=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{D}:=\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L}$ is positive-definite. The $\mathbf{T}\{T\}$ and $\mathbf{S}\{S\}$ fields are orthogonal on $\Sigma(r)$ in the sense of the first integral. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}\{T\}=0, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}\{S\}=-\grave{\Lambda}^{2} S, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}\{T\}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}\{T\}, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}\{S\}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}\left\{-\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2} S\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \dot{\mathbf{B}}^{\circ}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}\left\{-\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2} S\right\}+\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}\{T\}$. It will be assumed throughout that any quantity in $E^{3}$ has a ringed version in $\stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3}$, except for $r, \theta, \phi$, the toroidal-poloidal potentials $T, S, t, s$, the basis vectors $\mathbf{1}_{x}, \mathbf{1}_{y}, \mathbf{1}_{z}$ and the derived quantity $Y_{n}^{m}(\theta, \phi)$.

### 2.2 The Spherically-Scaled Kinematic Dynamo Problem

It is shown in subsections (i)-(iii) that, if the coordinates and fields are scaled as in (2.4), the induction equation (1.1) (a) and (1.1) (c) are transformed using (2.6) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \stackrel{\circ}{t}}=\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2}+\frac{e^{2}}{1-e^{2}} \partial_{\dot{z} z}\right) \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}+\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}) \quad \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{V} ; \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=0 \quad \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\stackrel{\circ}{t}:=t / a^{2}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}}:=a^{2} R_{\mathrm{m}}$ are a scaled time and magnetic Reynolds number. Moreover, the currentfree condition (1.1) (b) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)=\mathbf{0}, \quad \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3} \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{V} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matching conditions at the boundary $\Sigma$ and the self-exciting conditions as $r \rightarrow \infty$ (1.3) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathbf{B}]_{\Sigma}^{\circ}=\mathbf{0} ; \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-3}\right), \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conversely. Clearly $\mathbf{v}$ acts as a dynamo for the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, i.e. $\mathbf{B}$ grows, if and only $\mathbf{v}$ acts as a dynamo for $\mathbf{B}$; critical flows and magnetic fields correspond.

The mass conservation equation and velocity boundary condition (1.4) are transformed using (2.6) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \stackrel{\circ}{\rho}}{\partial \stackrel{\circ}{t}}+\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \cdot(\stackrel{\circ}{\rho} \mathbf{\mathbf { v }})=0, \quad \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{V} ; \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}}=0 \quad \text { on } \stackrel{\circ}{\Sigma} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

defining $\stackrel{\circ}{\rho}$ by requiring $\int_{\delta \check{V}} \stackrel{\circ}{\rho} \mathrm{~d} \stackrel{\circ}{V}=\int_{\delta V} \rho \mathrm{~d} V$ for any corresponding volumes $\delta \stackrel{\circ}{V}$ and $\delta V$, i.e. $\stackrel{\circ}{\rho}=a^{2} c \rho$. Hence the flow $\stackrel{\circ}{ }$ is kinematically feasible if and only if $\mathbf{v}$ is kinematically feasible. In particular, $\stackrel{\circ}{ }$ is incompressible if and only if $\mathbf{v}$ is incompressible; and $\stackrel{\mathbf{n}}{ } \cdot \stackrel{\mathbf{v}}{ }=0$ on $\stackrel{\circ}{\Sigma}$ if and only if $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}=0$ on $\Sigma$. The no-slip velocity condition is also preserved under (2.4), $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}}=\mathbf{0}$ on $\stackrel{\circ}{\Sigma}$ if and only if $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}$ on $\Sigma$, but the stress-free condition on $\mathbf{v}$ has a more complicated form for $\mathbf{v}$.

### 2.2.1 The Magnetic Induction Equation

By (2.6) (even without $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0$ ),

$$
\mathbf{L} \cdot \nabla \times(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})=(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}+\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{v})-(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}-\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{B})=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}})
$$

Thus in scaled coordinates the dot product of the magnetic induction equation (1.1) (a) with $\mathbf{L}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \cdot(\mathbf{D} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \mathbf{B})+R_{\mathrm{m}} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, using (2.5), the geometric diffusion tensor $\mathbf{D}:=\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L}$ and its inverse can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} \mathbf{D}=\mathbf{I}+\frac{e^{2}}{1-e^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}, \quad \mathbf{D}^{-1}=a^{2}\left(\mathbf{I}-e^{2} \mathbf{1}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.16) for $\mathbf{D}$ into (2.15) yields the spherically scaled magnetic induction equation (2.11)(a). The new feature of equation (2.11), over the magnetic induction equation (1.1), is the anisotropic geometric diffusion term which enhances the magnetic diffusion in the $z$-direction.

### 2.2.2 The Equations in the Insulating Exterior

The current-free condition (1.1)(b) in the insulating exterior $E^{3} \backslash V$ transforms to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \cdot\left\{\left(\mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{L}^{-1}\right) \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}\right\}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3} \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{V} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equivalent but more useful equation (2.12) for the present formulation is derived here. Using the form (2.5) of $\mathbf{L}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}=a\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-f \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right), \quad a \nabla=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}+\frac{f}{1-f} \mathbf{1}_{z} \partial_{\tilde{z}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting these two expressions directly into (1.1)(b) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-f \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}+\frac{f}{1-f} \mathbf{1}_{z} \times \partial_{\tilde{z}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3} \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{V} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not obvious that the vector equation (2.19) is equivalent to two scalar equations in $T$ and $S$. However, observe that (2.19) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}_{z} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=0 \quad \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3} \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{V} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$-divergence of the middle expression in (2.19) gives $\partial_{\tilde{z}}\left(\mathbf{1}_{z} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}\right)$, which vanishes identically if (2.20) is satisfied, so that (2.19) is in fact equivalent to just two scalar equations. This can be seen more clearly by contracting the left equation in (2.19) with $\mathbf{L}$, which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \mathbf{L} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-f \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}+\frac{f}{1-f}\left(\mathbf{1}_{z} \times \partial_{z} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{1}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the identity $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}+\mathbf{1}_{z} \times \partial_{z} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{1}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}$ and $2 f-f^{2}=e^{2}$ reduces (2.21) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(1-f) \mathbf{L} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the current-free conditions (1.1) (b), (2.17) or (2.19) are equivalent to the current-free condition (2.12). This equation differs in form from the original current-free condition (1.1)(b) by a subtractive $\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right)$ geometric anisotropy $e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}$.

By (1.1)(b) there exists a magnetic scalar potential $\Psi$ with $\mathbf{B}=-\nabla \Psi$ in $E^{3} \backslash V$ and $\nabla^{2} \Psi=0$ by (1.1)(c). The potential $\Psi$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}$ are related by $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=-\mathbf{D} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \Psi$ where $\mathbf{D}$ is given by (2.16) and since $\nabla^{2}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$, $\Psi$ satisfies the elliptic equation $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{\circ} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \Psi=0$. Hence

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=-\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}+\frac{e^{2}}{1-e^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{z} \partial_{\dot{z}}\right) \Psi / a^{2}, \quad\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2}+\frac{e^{2}}{1-e^{2}} \partial_{\dot{z}} \partial_{\tilde{z}}\right) \Psi=0, \quad \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3} \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{V}
$$

In cylindrical polar coordinates, $\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{s}=-\partial_{s} \Psi / a^{2}, \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\phi}=-\stackrel{\circ}{s}^{-1} \partial_{\phi} \Psi / a^{2}, \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z}=-\partial_{z} \Psi / c^{2}$. The equation $\nabla^{2} \Psi=0$ implies $\nabla^{2}(\nabla \Psi)^{2}=2 \nabla \nabla \Psi: \nabla \nabla \Psi \geq 0$ and $(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla})(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \Psi)^{2}=2 \operatorname{tr}\left[(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \nabla \Psi)^{T} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \nabla \Psi \Psi\right] \geq 0$. Thus the elliptic interior maximum principle (Protter and Weinberger, 1984) implies, noting (1.3)(c), that $\max _{E^{3} \backslash V}|\mathbf{B}|$ occurs on $\Sigma$ and $\max _{E^{3} \backslash V}|\dot{\mathbf{B}}|$ on $\stackrel{\circ}{\Sigma}$, although not necessarily at corresponding points.

### 2.2.3 The Toroidal and Poloidal Equations

The solenoidal condition (2.11) (b) is satisfied by the toroidal-poloidal representation (2.7). Equations for the toroidal-poloidal potentials $T$ and $S$ in $V$ follow from (2.11) (a) by using (2.10),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\partial_{\dot{t}}-\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2}\right) \AA^{2} S=-\frac{e^{2}}{1-e^{2}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \partial_{\dot{z} \dot{z}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}})  \tag{2.23}\\
& \left(\partial_{\dot{t}}-\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2}\right) \check{\Lambda}^{2} T=-\frac{e^{2}}{1-e^{2}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \partial_{\tilde{z} \stackrel{\circ}{Z}} \mathbf{\circ}-\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}) \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

In $\stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3} \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{V}$, since the $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$-divergence of the left side of (2.12) is zero, the properties (2.10) of toroidal-poloidal fields imply (2.12) is equivalent to the two scalar equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)=0, \quad \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressions in $T$ and $S$ follow from the identities $\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \times f \mathbf{1}_{z}=\partial_{\phi} f,(2.10), \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(f \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)=r \partial_{r} \partial_{z} f-$ $r \cos \theta \dot{\nabla}^{2} f, \mathbf{1}_{z}=\cos \theta \mathbf{1}_{r}-\sin \theta \mathbf{1}_{\theta}$ and $\partial_{\tilde{z}}=\cos \theta \partial_{r}-r^{-1} \sin \theta \partial_{\theta}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z}=\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{r} \cos \theta-\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\theta} \sin \theta=-\frac{\cos \theta}{r} \check{\Lambda}^{2} S-\frac{\sin \theta}{r} \partial_{\theta} \partial_{r}(r S)-\partial_{\phi} T \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the left sides of (2.25) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)=-\AA^{2} T+e^{2}\left(\frac{\cos \theta}{r} \AA^{2} \partial_{\phi} S+\frac{\sin \theta}{r} \partial_{\theta} \partial_{r}\left(r \partial_{\phi} S\right)+\partial_{\phi \phi} T\right)  \tag{2.27}\\
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)=\AA^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2} S+e^{2}\left\{r \partial_{r}\left(\cos \theta \partial_{r}-\sin \theta \partial_{\theta}\right)-r \cos \theta \dot{\nabla}^{2}\right\} \\
+\left(\frac{\cos \theta}{r} \check{\Lambda}^{2} S+\frac{\sin \theta}{r} \partial_{\theta} \partial_{r}(r S)+\partial_{\phi} T\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

From properties of the operator $\AA^{2}$, (2.25) with (2.27) and (2.28) reduce to the spherical equations $T=0$ and $\nabla^{2} S=0$ in the limit $e \rightarrow 0$. In general, if $e>0$ they couple non-axisymmetric $T$ and $S$ fields in $r>1$. Equations different from (2.25) are possible, e.g. for $e>0$ equations (2.20) and (2.26), noting (2.10), imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\cos \theta}{r} \AA^{2} T+\frac{\sin \theta}{r} \partial_{\theta} \partial_{r}(r T)-\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2} \partial_{\phi} S=0, \quad \text { in } \stackrel{\circ}{E}^{3} \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{V} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.27) and (2.28), conditions (2.3) and (2.25) are equivalent to conditions (1.1) (b) and (1.3). However, it is not obvious that conditions (2.3) together with (2.12) are sufficient to guarantee that no current crosses $\Sigma$. Observe that the dot product of (2.12) with $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}}$ yields $a(1-f) \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)$, since $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{L} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}}$. Apart from factors $a(1-f)|\mathbf{n}|$ and $|\stackrel{\mathbf{r}}{ }|$ the expressions on the left and first on the right are the normal components of the (dimensionless) current on $\Sigma$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\Sigma}$. The difficulty is the $\dot{B}_{z}$ term. From (2.3) and (2.27), $\left[\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)\right]_{\Sigma}=0$. Hence $[\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B}]_{\Sigma}=0$ and thus $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B}=0$ on $\Sigma^{-}$.

Finally, if $\mathbf{v}$ (equivalently $\mathbf{v}$ ) satisfies the impenetrable boundary condition (2.14) (b) the velocity poloidal scalar $s$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=0 \quad \text { at } r=1 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Numerical Method

Equations (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) are solved by discretising the toroidal-poloidal equations (2.23), (2.24), (2.25) with (2.27) and (2.28), using a Galerkin method in angle with spherical harmonics as the basis functions and second-order finite differences in radius for simplicity, and solving subject to (2.3). Other radial discretisation methods are possible.

### 3.1 The Angular Spectral Equations

The magnetic toroidal-poloidal potentials are expanded in spherical harmonics,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{n, m} f_{n}^{m}(r, \stackrel{\circ}{t}) Y_{n}^{m}(\theta, \phi), \quad Y_{n}^{m}(\theta, \phi):=(-)^{m} \sqrt{\frac{(2 n+1)(n-m)!}{(n+m)!}} P_{n, m}(\cos \theta) e^{i m \phi} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f=T$ or $S$, and $P_{n, m}$ is the Neumann associated Legendre function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n, m}(z):=\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{m / 2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{m} P_{n}(z)}{\mathrm{d} z^{m}}, \quad P_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} z^{n}}\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $\left(Y_{n}^{m}\right)^{*}=(-)^{m} Y_{n}^{-m}$ where an asterisk denotes complex conjugation; $\AA^{2} Y_{n}^{m}=-\lambda_{n} Y_{n}^{m}$ where $\lambda_{n}:=$ $n(n+1)$; and $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2} f(r) Y_{n}^{m}=\mathrm{D}_{n} f(r) Y_{n}^{m}$ for any function $f(r)$, where $\mathrm{D}_{n}:=r^{-2}\left[\partial_{r}\left(r^{2} \partial_{r}\right)-\lambda_{n}\right]$. The spherical harmonics (3.1) form a complete orthonormal set with respect to the inner-product $(f, g):=\oint f g^{*} \mathrm{~d} \Omega / 4 \pi$ of complex scalar functions $f$ and $g$ on $\Sigma(r)$. The $n=0$ terms do not contribute to the vector fields and are omitted. The boundary, matching and self-exciting conditions (2.3) imply the spherical harmonic coefficients satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[S_{n}^{m}\right]_{r=1}=0,\left[\partial_{r} S_{n}^{m}\right]_{r=1}=0,\left[T_{n}^{m}\right]_{r=1}=0 ; \quad S_{n}^{m}=\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-2}\right), T_{n}^{m}=\mathcal{O}\left(r^{-3}\right) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a self-exciting insulated spherical dynamo the behaviour of $T$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ does not arise as in (3.3) since $T$ then vanishes in $E^{3} \backslash V$.

### 3.1.1 The Conducting Region

The spectral forms of the poloidal and toroidal induction equations (2.23) and (2.24) are

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\partial_{\grave{t}}-\mathrm{D}_{n}\right) S_{n}^{m} & =\frac{e^{2}}{1-e^{2}} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{m}\left\{\partial_{\dot{z} \underset{z}{z}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}\right\}+R_{\mathrm{m}} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{m}\{\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}})\}  \tag{3.4}\\
\left(\partial_{\grave{t}}-\mathrm{D}_{n}\right) T_{n}^{m} & =\frac{e^{2}}{1-e^{2}} \mathcal{T}_{n}^{m}\left\{\partial_{\dot{z} \check{z}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}\right\}+R_{\mathrm{m}} \mathcal{T}_{n}^{m}\{\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}})\} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the (reduced) poloidal transform and the toroidal transform are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{n}^{m}\{\mathbf{F}\}:=\frac{1}{4 \pi \lambda_{n}} \oint Y_{n}^{m *} \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{F} \mathrm{~d} \Omega, \quad \mathcal{T}_{n}^{m}\{\mathbf{F}\}:=\frac{1}{4 \pi \lambda_{n}} \oint Y_{n}^{m *} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \cdot \mathbf{F} \mathrm{~d} \Omega \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The horizontal divergence equation is redundant as shown in Appendix A.
In time-stepping problems, the transforms (3.6) of the induction term are most efficiently calculated numerically from values of $\mathbf{F}$ on a $(\theta, \phi)$-grid using fast-Fourier transforms in $\phi$ and Gaussian quadrature in $\theta$. However, in the eigen- and critical-value problems for steady flows considered here the transforms are evaluated using angular spectral expansions (Bullard and Gellman, 1954, Elsasser, 1946); see Appendix B. The transforms of the anisotropic diffusion terms are more problematic. The angular spectral forms of the anisotropic magnetic diffusion terms in (3.4) and (3.5) have relatively few terms, and so are preferable here to the numerical calculation of (3.6). The transforms are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{n}^{m}\left\{\partial_{\tilde{z} \tilde{z}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}\right\}=L_{n}^{m}(S, T), \quad \mathcal{T}_{n}^{m}\left\{\partial_{\tilde{z} \tilde{z}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}\right\}=L_{n}^{m}\left(T,-\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2} S\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the differential operator $L_{n}^{m}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{n}^{m}(F, G):=\frac{n-2}{n} c_{n-1, n}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{1-n, 2-n} F_{n-2}^{m}+\frac{2 i m c_{n}^{m}}{n(n+1)} \mathrm{d}_{1-n} G_{n-1}^{m}+ \\
& C_{n}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{n} F_{n}^{m}+\frac{2 i m c_{n+1}^{m}}{n(n+1)} \mathrm{d}_{n+2} G_{n+1}^{m}+\frac{n+3}{n+1} c_{n+1, n+2}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{n+2, n+3} F_{n+2}^{m} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and the operators $\mathrm{d}_{n}:=\partial_{r}+n / r$ and $\mathrm{D}_{n_{1}, n_{2}}:=\mathrm{d}_{n_{1}} \mathrm{~d}_{n_{2}}$. The coefficients are defined by $c_{n}^{m}:=\sqrt{\left(n^{2}-m^{2}\right) /\left(4 n^{2}-1\right)}$, $c_{n_{1}, n_{2}}^{m}:=c_{n_{1}}^{m} c_{n_{2}}^{m}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{m}:=\frac{n-2}{n} c_{n, n}^{m}+\frac{n+3}{n+1} c_{n+1, n+1}^{m} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expansions (3.8) may be derived using the recurrence relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta Y_{n}^{m}=c_{n+1}^{m} Y_{n+1}^{m}+c_{n}^{m} Y_{n-1}^{m}, \quad \sin \theta \partial_{\theta} Y_{n}^{m}=n c_{n+1}^{m} Y_{n+1}^{m}-(n+1) c_{n}^{m} Y_{n-1}^{m} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The poloidal and toroidal equations (3.4) and (3.5) hold for $n=1$ and $n=2$, since the factor $c_{n}^{m}$ in the first two terms of (3.8) vanishes for $n=1$ (forcing $m=1$ ) and the first term clearly vanishes for $n=2$. The right side of equation (3.7) (b) contains third-order radial derivatives of $S_{n}^{m}$ through the terms $\mathrm{d}_{1-n} \mathrm{D}_{n-1} S_{n-1}^{m}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{n+2} \mathrm{D}_{n+1} S_{n+1}^{m}$ but only second-order derivatives of $T_{n}^{m}$.

### 3.1.2 The Insulating Exterior

The angular spectral forms of the exterior equations (2.25) with (2.27) and (2.28) are now derived. Each term is treated separately. The expansions of the first terms are $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \dot{\mathbf{B}}=-\AA^{2} T=\sum_{n, m} \lambda_{n} T_{n}^{m} Y_{n}^{m}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}})=\AA^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}^{2} S=-\sum_{n, m} \lambda_{n} D_{n} S_{n}^{m} Y_{n}^{m}$. The expansions of the second term in each equation follow from $\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \times f \mathbf{1}_{z}=\partial_{\phi} f=\sum_{n, m} i m f_{n}^{m} Y_{n}^{m}$,

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(f \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)=\nabla \cdot\left[\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} f \times \mathbf{1}_{z}\right) \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}}\right]=\nabla \cdot\left(r \partial_{r} f \mathbf{1}_{z}-r \cos \theta \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} f\right)=r \partial_{r} \partial_{\dot{z}} f-r \cos \theta \dot{\nabla}^{2} f
$$

and the formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla \times \nabla \times\left(f \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)=\sum_{n, m}\left\{-(n+1) c_{n}^{m} d_{1-n} f_{n-1}^{m}+n c_{n+1}^{m} d_{n+2} f_{n+1}^{m}\right\} Y_{n}^{m}, \\
& \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z}=\sum_{n, m}\left\{-(n-1) c_{n}^{m} d_{1-n} S_{n-1}^{m}-i m T_{n}^{m}+(n+2) c_{n+1}^{m} d_{n+2} S_{n+1}^{m}\right\} Y_{n}^{m} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be derived using relations (3.10). Thus the spectral forms of (2.27) and (2.28) give

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{n} T_{n}^{m}-e^{2}\left\{-i m(n-1) c_{n}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{1-n} S_{n-1}^{m}+m^{2} T_{n}^{m}+i m(n+2) c_{n+1}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{n+2} S_{n+1}^{m}\right\}=0  \tag{3.12}\\
\mathrm{D}_{n} S_{n}^{m}+e^{2}\left\{\frac{n-2}{n} c_{n-1, n}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{1-n, 2-n} S_{n-2}^{m}+\frac{i m c_{n}^{m}}{n} \mathrm{~d}_{1-n} T_{n-1}^{m}-\widehat{C}_{n}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{n} S_{n}^{m}\right.  \tag{3.13}\\
\left.-\frac{i m c_{n+1}^{m}}{n+1} \mathrm{~d}_{n+2} T_{n+1}^{m}+\frac{n+3}{n+1} c_{n+1, n+2}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{n+2, n+3} S_{n+2}^{m}\right\}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{C}_{n}^{m}:=\frac{n+1}{n} c_{n, n}^{m}+\frac{n}{n+1} c_{n+1, n+1}^{m} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Radial Discretisation

The radial dependence is discretised using second-order finite differences. In the interior $0 \leq r \leq 1-\mathrm{a}$ uniform radial grid is used: $r_{j}=j h, j=0: J$, with step size $h=1 / J$ and $r_{J}=1-$. In the exterior $1+\leq r<\infty$ a uniform grid in the inverse radial coordinate $\xi=1 / r$ is used: $\xi_{j}=1+j h_{\xi}, j=0: J_{\xi}$, with step size $h_{\xi}=-1 / J_{\xi}$. Additional interior and exterior limiting grid points $r=1-$ and $r=1+$ are allocated to the boundary $r=1$ to simplify implementation of the finite-differencing. Thus the full radial $\operatorname{grid}$ is $r_{j}=j h$ for $j=0: J$ and $r_{j}=1 /\left[1+(j-J) h_{\xi}\right] /$ for $j=J+1: J+J_{\xi}$ with $r_{J}=1-, r_{J+1}=1$ and $r_{J+2}=1+$. See table 1 The truncation levels $J, J_{\xi}$ and the related step sizes $h, h_{\xi}$ can be specified independently.

The $S_{n}^{m}$ and $T_{n}^{m}$ equations (3.4) and (3.5) are discretised at internal radial grid points in ${ }^{\circ}$; the $S_{n}^{m}$ equation is also discretised at the boundary grid point $r=1-$. The $T_{n}^{m}$ equation is not discretised at $r=1-$, since $T_{n}^{m}$ is continuous across the boundary by (3.3) but $\partial_{r} T_{n}^{m}$ may be discontinuous. The $S_{n}^{m}$ and $T_{n}^{m}$ equations (3.12) and (3.13) are discretised at the grid points in the exterior $E^{3} \backslash V$. There is one equation for each of the coefficient values $T_{n}^{m}\left(r_{j}\right)$ and $S_{n}^{m}\left(r_{j}\right)$ at each grid-point $r_{j}$. Matching conditions are applied as shown in table 1 .

### 3.2.1 The Conducting Interior

The following one-sided second-order right-boundary schemes are used at or near the boundary.

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{0}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2} h^{-1}\left(f_{-2}-4 f_{-1}+3 f_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{3} f^{(3)}(\eta) h^{2}  \tag{3.15}\\
& f_{0}^{(2)}=h^{-2}\left(-f_{-3}+4 f_{-2}-5 f_{-1}+2 f_{0}\right)+\frac{11}{12} f^{(4)}(\eta) h^{2}  \tag{3.16}\\
& f_{0}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} h^{-2}\left(-f_{-2}+8 f_{-1}-7 f_{0}+6 h f_{0}^{(1)}\right)+\frac{1}{6} f^{(4)}(\eta) h^{2}  \tag{3.17}\\
& f_{0}^{(3)}=h^{-3}\left(f_{-3}-6 f_{-2}+15 f_{-1}-10 f_{0}+6 h f_{0}^{(1)}\right)+\frac{11}{20} f^{(5)}(\eta) h^{2}  \tag{3.18}\\
& f_{0}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{3} h^{-3}\left(-f_{-2}+9 f_{0}-8 f_{1}+6 h f_{1}^{(1)}\right)-\frac{3}{20} f^{(5)}(\eta) h^{2} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Schemes (3.15) and (3.16) are used for the $T$ field at $r_{J}$; schemes (3.17)-(3.19), which include the first derivative at the boundary, are used for the second and third derivatives of the $S$ field at $r_{J}$ or $r_{J-1}$. Otherwise centred formulas are used for the $T$ and $S$ fields.

| Radial Block | Radius | Equations | Fields |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $h$ | $S_{n}^{m}$ de,$T_{n}^{m}$ de | $S_{n}^{m}, T_{n}^{m}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $J-1$ | $1-h=(J-1) h$ | $S_{n}^{m}$ de,$T_{n}^{m}$ de | $S_{n}^{m}, T_{n}^{m}$ |
| $J$ | $1-=J h$ | $S_{n}^{m}$ de, $T_{n}^{m} \mathrm{mc}$ | $S_{n}^{m}, T_{n}^{m}$ |
| $J+1$ | 1 | $S_{n}^{m} \mathrm{mc}$ | $\partial_{r} S_{n}^{m}$ |
| $J+2$ | $1+=\left(1+0 h_{\xi}\right)^{-1}$ | $S_{n}^{m}$ de, $T_{n}^{m}$ de | $S_{n}^{m}, T_{n}^{m}$ |
| $J+3$ | $\left(1+h_{\xi}\right)^{-1}$ | $S_{n}^{m}$ de, $T_{n}^{m}$ de | $S_{n}^{m}, T_{n}^{m}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $J+J_{\xi}+1$ | $\left(1+\left(J_{\xi}-1\right) h_{\xi}\right)^{-1}$ | $S_{n}^{m}$ de, $T_{n}^{m}$ de | $S_{n}^{m}, T_{n}^{m}$ |

Table 1: The ordering of differential equations (de) and matching conditions (mc) for $S_{n}^{m}$ and $T_{n}^{m}$ into radial blocks. Note $h_{\xi}<0$.

### 3.2.2 Insulating Exterior

The change of variable from $r$ to $\xi$ in equations (3.12) and (3.13) is accomplished with the identities, $r \partial_{r}=-\xi \partial_{\xi}, \partial_{r}=-\xi^{2} \partial_{\xi}$. Define the operators $\mathrm{d}_{a}^{\xi}:=\partial_{\xi}+a \xi^{-1}, \mathrm{D}_{a, b}^{\xi}:=\mathrm{d}_{a}^{\xi} \mathrm{d}_{b}^{\xi}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{n}^{\xi}:=\partial_{\xi \xi}-\lambda_{n} \xi^{-2}$, where $a$, $b$ are constants. Then $\mathrm{d}_{a}=-\xi^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{-a}^{\xi}, \mathrm{D}_{a, b}=\xi^{4} \mathrm{D}_{2-a,-b}^{\xi}, \mathrm{D}_{n}^{\xi}=\mathrm{D}_{-n, n}^{\xi}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{n}=\mathrm{D}_{n+2,-n}=\xi^{4} \mathrm{D}_{-n, n}^{\xi}=\xi^{4} \mathrm{D}_{n}^{\xi}$. Thus the toroidal and poloidal equations become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{n} T_{n}^{m}+e^{2}\left\{-i m(n-1) c_{n}^{m} \xi^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{n-1}^{\xi} S_{n-1}^{m}+i m(n+2) c_{n+1}^{m} \xi^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{-n-2}^{\xi} S_{n+1}^{m}-m^{2} T_{n}^{m}\right\}=0  \tag{3.20}\\
\xi^{2} D_{n}^{\xi} S_{n}^{m}+e^{2}\left\{\frac{n-2}{n} c_{n-1, n}^{m} \xi^{2} \mathrm{D}_{n+1, n-2}^{\xi} S_{n-2}^{m}-\frac{i m}{n} c_{n}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{n-1}^{\xi} T_{n-1}^{m}-\widehat{C}_{n}^{m} \xi^{2} \mathrm{D}_{n}^{\xi} S_{n}^{m}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{i m}{n+1} c_{n+1}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{-n-2}^{\xi} T_{n+1}^{m}+\frac{n+3}{n+1} c_{n+1, n+2}^{m} \xi^{2} \mathrm{D}_{-n,-n-3}^{\xi} S_{n+2}^{m}\right\}=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

The left boundary difference schemes used in the exterior are obtained by replacing $h$ by $-h$ in the corresponding right schemes and used in the $S$-equation (3.21) at $r_{J+2}$ for the $T$ and $S$ fields.

### 3.3 Eigen- and Critical-Value Problems for Steady Flows

For steady flows magnetic field solutions can be found with time dependence $\mathbf{B} \propto e^{\dot{\gamma} \dot{t}}=e^{\gamma t}$, where $t$ is the time and the growth rates are related by $\dot{\gamma}=a^{2} \gamma$. Growth, criticality and decay are preserved by the scaling: $\operatorname{Re} \dot{\gamma}=\operatorname{Re} \gamma=0$ or $\operatorname{Re} \dot{\gamma} \operatorname{Re} \gamma>0$. Computations use $\dot{\gamma}$ and the magnetic Reynolds number $\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}}=a^{2} R_{\mathrm{m}}$, and the shape parameter $a / c$ (or $c / a$ ) is prescribed. Values of the growth rates and magnetic Reynolds numbers for different length scales can be derived from computations by scaling with the shape parameter. Since the growth rate $\dot{\gamma}$ depends only on the shape and $\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}}, \gamma\left(a, c, R_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=a^{-2} \dot{\gamma}\left(c / a, \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. Thus, if the length scale $\mathcal{L}$ is the major or minor semi-axis, spherical radius or circle radius, so that $a=1, c=1, a^{2} c=1$ or $a c=1$, the associated growth rates $\gamma$ and magnetic Reynolds numbers $R_{\mathrm{m}}$ are given by $\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}(c / a)^{2}, \dot{\gamma}(c / a)^{2 / 3}$ or $\dot{\gamma}(c / a)$, and $\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}}, \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}}(c / a)^{2}, \stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}}(c / a)^{2 / 3}$ or $\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{m}}(c / a)$, respectively. Setting $a=1$ gives $\stackrel{\circ}{\gamma}\left(c, R_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\gamma\left(1, c, R_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, and hence $\gamma\left(a, c, R_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=a^{-2} \gamma\left(1, c / a, a^{2} R_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$.

The spatial discretisation approximates the problem by a matrix generalised eigenproblem $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}=\gamma \mathbf{B x}$, where the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ is singular. The discretisation produces banded matrices with the narrowest band, in general, if all coefficients and their equations at each grid point are blocked together. The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is essentially block-pentadiagonal due to the centred difference formulas with the one-sided formulas (3.16), (3.17) and (3.19) distorting this slightly. In principle the generalised eigenvalues $\dot{\gamma}$ are the zeros of the characteristic polynomial $\operatorname{det}(\dot{\gamma} \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A})=0$. If the matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are $N \times N$, the leading coefficient of this polynomial is $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{B}$, which is zero since $\mathbf{B}$ is singular. Accordingly there are less than $N$ generalised eigenvalues. In fact, generally there may be $0,1, \ldots, N-1$, or infinitely-many eigenvalues if the characteristic polynomial vanishes identically. Thus the generalised problems with $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{A}_{0}$ or $\mathbf{A}_{\infty}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, where

$$
\mathbf{A}_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) ; \quad \mathbf{A}_{0}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) ; \quad \mathbf{A}_{\infty}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) ; \quad \mathbf{B}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

have one $(\gamma=-1)$, zero and $\infty$-many eigensolutions. Despite this theoretical aspect of the generalised eigenproblem no difficulty, such as phantom eigenvalues, which may occur with the $Q Z$-algorithm, has been
observed in practice with the methods used to determine selected generalised eigenvalues of the kinematic dynamo problem: inverse iteration, the implicitly restarted Arnoldi method and the power method with the conformal transformation $w=-\left(z-z_{1}\right) /\left(z-z_{2}\right)$. The transformation maps the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z>0$ to the exterior of the circle $|w|=1, z_{1} \mapsto 0, z_{2} \mapsto \infty$ and $\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) \mapsto 1$. The line $\operatorname{Re} z=c$ is mapped to the circle

$$
\left(c-z_{2}\right) w \bar{w}+\left[c-\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)\right](w+\bar{w})+c-z_{1}=0
$$

with centre $\left[c-\frac{1}{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)\right] /\left(c-z_{2}\right)$ and radius $\frac{1}{2}\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| /\left|c-z_{2}\right|$. Applying this mapping to the spectrum of the generalised eigenproblem yields a method for finding the eigenvalue of largest real part: apply the power method to the matrix $\mathbf{M}:=-\mathbf{I}-\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{A}-z_{2} \mathbf{B}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{B}$.

### 3.4 Magnetic Energy and Related Seminorms

Norms and seminorms can be useful to estimate numerical convergence (Bachtiar and James, 2010). Useful spheroidal seminorms of a scalar field $f$ on $E^{3}$ are defined by

$$
|f|_{2, r}^{2}:=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \oint_{\Sigma(r)} f^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Omega, \quad\|f\|_{2, G}^{2}:=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{G} f^{2} \mathrm{~d} \stackrel{\circ}{V},
$$

where the first integral is taken over a spheroidal $r$-surface and $G$ is a region in $\dot{E}^{3}$. The seminorm $|f|_{2, E^{3}}$ is a norm, i.e. it is zero only if $f=0$ in $E^{3}$, which is denoted $\|f\|_{2}:=\|f\|_{2, E^{3}}$. The subscript 2's are suppressed below. The squared norm can be split into internal and external parts: $\|f\|^{2}=\|f\|_{V}^{2}+\|f\|_{E^{3} \backslash V}^{2}$. Also

$$
\|f\|^{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty}|f|_{r}^{2} r^{2} d r, \quad \|\left. f\right|_{V} ^{2}=\int_{0}^{1}|f|_{r}^{2} r^{2} d r, \quad|f|_{E^{3} \backslash V}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1}|f|_{\xi^{-1}}^{2} \xi^{-4} d \xi
$$

Related seminorms of a vector field $\mathbf{F}$ on $E^{3}$ are obtained by setting $f=F:=|\mathbf{F}|$; thus $|\mathbf{F}|_{r}:=|F|_{r}$ and $\|\mathbf{F}\|_{G}:=\|F\|_{G}$.

A weighted magnetic energy on $\Sigma(r)$ is $2 \pi a^{2} E(r)$, where

$$
E(r):=|\mathbf{B} / a|_{r}^{2}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \oint\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}^{2}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z}^{2}\right) d \Omega=\int_{\Sigma(r)} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B}^{2}\left|2 \pi a^{4} c r \mathbf{n}\right|^{-1} d \Sigma
$$

Since any $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}$ are orthogonal over any $\stackrel{\circ}{\Sigma}(r)$ by (2.9) and $2 f-f^{2}=e^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(r)=|\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}|_{r}^{2}+|\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}|_{r}^{2}-e^{2}\left|\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z}\right|_{r}^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total magnetic energy in $E^{3}$ is $2 \pi a^{4} c E_{B}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{B}:=\|\mathbf{B} / a\|^{2}=\|\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}\|^{2}+\|\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{S}}\|^{2}-e^{2}\left\|\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z}\right\|^{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} E(r) r^{2} \mathrm{~d} r \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In spherical harmonic spectral form, $|\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{T}}|_{r}^{2}=\sum_{n, m} \lambda_{n}\left|T_{n}^{m}\right|^{2}, r^{2}|\dot{\mathbf{S}}|_{r}^{2}=\sum_{n, m}\left\{\lambda_{n}^{2}\left|S_{n}^{m}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{n}\left|\partial_{r}\left(r S_{n}^{m}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}$ and from (3.11),

$$
r^{2}\left|\grave{B}_{z}\right|_{r}^{2}=\sum_{n, m}\left|-(n-1) c_{n}^{m}\left[\partial_{r}\left(r S_{n-1}^{m}\right)-n S_{n-1}^{m}\right]+(n+2) c_{n+1}^{m}\left[\partial_{r}\left(r S_{n+1}^{m}\right)+(n+1) S_{n+1}^{m}\right]-i m r T_{n}^{m}\right|^{2} .
$$

Thus the magnetic energy is not the sum of the toroidal and poloidal energies in $\stackrel{\circ}{V}$ if $e \neq 0$. In $r>1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\mathbf{S}|_{\xi^{-1}}^{2}=\xi^{2} \sum_{n, m}\left\{\lambda_{n}^{2}\left|S_{n}^{m}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{n}\left|\partial_{\xi}\left(\xi S_{n}^{m}\right)-2 S_{n}^{m}\right|^{2}\right\} \\
\left|\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z}\right|_{\xi^{-1}}^{2}=\xi^{2} \sum_{n, m}\left|(n-1) c_{n}^{m}\left[\partial_{\xi}\left(\xi S_{n-1}^{m}\right)+(n-2) S_{n-1}^{m}\right]-(n+2) c_{n+1}^{m}\left[\partial_{\xi}\left(\xi S_{n+1}^{m}\right)-(n+3) S_{n+1}^{m}\right]-i m r T_{n}^{m}\right|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 4 Results

Magnetic free-decay $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}$ provides an excellent test case, since the new features in equations (2.11) and (2.12) are all active if the ellipticity $e>0$. If $e=0$, the conducting volume $V$ is spherical with magnetic free-decay modes given by

$$
S_{n}^{m}=e^{-j_{n-1, k}^{2} t}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
j_{n}\left(j_{n-1, k} r\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
j_{n}\left(j_{n-1, k}\right) r^{-n-1},
\end{array} \quad T_{n}^{m}=e^{-j_{n, k}^{2} t} \begin{cases}j_{n}\left(j_{n, k} r\right), & r<1 \\
0, & r>1\end{cases}\right.
$$

where $n \geq 1, j_{n}$ is the $n$th spherical Bessel function of the first kind and $j_{n, k}$ is the $k$ th positive zero of $j_{n}$, i.e. $j_{n}\left(j_{n, k}\right)=0$ for $n=0,1, \ldots, k=1,2, \ldots$ There are $n$ cells of non-zero $S$ or $T$ in latitude and $k$ cells in radius.

### 4.1 Axisymmetric Free-Decay Solutions

For $e>0$ there exist analytical axisymmetric $(m=0)$ free-decay $(R=0)$ solutions in terms of spheroidal wave functions using confocal spheroidal coordinates. These functions are difficult to compute even in the arbitrary precision. Further details of the solutions are given in Wu and Roberts (2009). The spheroidal coordinates are also used in $\$ 5$.

An axisymmetric magnetic field has the representation $\mathbf{B}=\nabla \times\left(\chi \mathbf{1}_{\phi} / s\right)+B_{\phi} \mathbf{1}_{\phi}$, where $\chi$ is the magnetic flux function, and $\chi=-a^{2} c r \sin \theta \partial_{\theta} S$ and $B_{\phi}=-a \partial_{\theta} T$. The fields $\chi$ and $B_{\phi}$ are odd (even) in the equator if $S$ and $T$ are even (odd). The associated field $\dot{\mathbf{B}}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left({ }_{\chi}^{\chi} \mathbf{1}_{\phi} / \stackrel{\circ}{s}\right)+\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\phi} \mathbf{1}_{\phi}$, where $\dot{\chi}=\chi / a^{2} c$. Assuming $\chi(\mathbf{r}, t)=\hat{\chi}(\mathbf{r}) e^{\gamma t}$ and $B_{\phi}(\mathbf{r}, t)=\hat{B}_{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) e^{\gamma t}$, the magnetic induction equation (1.1) with $R_{\mathrm{m}}=0$ decouples into two scalar equations of the same form, $\nabla^{2} u-2 s^{-1} \partial_{s} u-\gamma u=0$, with either $u=\hat{\chi}$ or $u=\hat{B}_{\phi}$. This equation has separable solutions in confocal oblate spheroidal coordinates $(\xi, \phi, \eta)$. The change to ( $\xi, \phi, \eta$ ) from cylindrical polar coordinates $(s, \phi, z)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=d \sqrt{\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)}, \quad z=d \xi \eta, \quad d:=\sqrt{a^{2}-c^{2}}=a e \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary (1.2) is then given by the level surface $\xi=c / d=c / a e$, since $x=s \cos \phi$ and $y=s \sin \phi$. The separable solutions are of the form $u=s \Xi(\xi) H(\eta)$, where $\Xi(\xi)=R_{1 n}^{(1)}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \mathrm{i} \xi)$ and $H(\eta)=S_{1 n}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \eta)$ are radial and angular oblate spheroidal wave functions of the first kind, degree $n$ and order 1 (Flammer, 2005, note $d$ differs by a factor 2) and $\sigma^{2}=-d^{2} \gamma$. Since $B_{\phi}=0$ on the insulating boundary $\xi=c / a e$, the azimuthal modes are

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\phi}=R_{1 n}^{(1)}\left(-\mathrm{i} \sigma_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{i} \xi\right) S_{1 n}\left(-\mathrm{i} \sigma_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}, \eta\right) e^{\gamma_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}} t} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}(a, c)=-\left(\sigma_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2} /\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right)$ and $\sigma_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is the $k$ th positive zero of $R_{1 n}^{(1)}(-i \sigma, i c / a e)=0$. The field $B_{\phi}$ is odd (even) in the equator if $n$ is even (odd) and vanishes in the exterior. The growth rates $\gamma_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}(1, c)=\dot{\gamma}_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}(c)$ for $a=1$ (suppressing $R_{\mathrm{m}}=0$ ), were calculated using the spheroidal wave function package in Mathematica 8 (Falloon et al., 2003). The functions $\dot{\gamma}_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}(c / a)$ for $c / a=0.01(0.01) 0.1(0.05) 1$ (see figure (1) are shown in table 3 of Appendix C with $n=1,2,3, k=1$ and $n=1, k=2$. The relative errors in the numerical eigenvalues are shown in figure 2


Figure 1: Ellipses of semi-axis ratios $a / c=1,1.25,2,3,4,5$ with $c=2$ and $a / c=10,20,25,50,100$ with $a=10$.

The meridional solutions are more complicated due to the matching conditions on $\chi$ at the conducting boundary $\xi=c / a e$. In the exterior $\xi>c / a e, \chi \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, so the separable solutions are $\Xi=$
$R_{1 n}^{(2)}(0, \mathrm{i} \xi)=Q_{n}^{1}(\mathrm{i} \xi)$ and $H=S_{1 n}(0, \eta) P_{n}^{1}(\eta)$, where $Q_{n}^{m}$ is an associated Legendre function of the second kind,

$$
Q_{n}^{m}(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{m / 2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{m}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{m}} Q_{n}(x), \quad Q_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2} P_{n}(x) \log \left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} P_{k-1}(x) P_{n-k}(x),
$$

and $P_{k}$ is the Legendre polynomial (3.2)(b) of degree $k$. To satisfy the matching conditions, linear combinations of these modes are required,

$$
\chi= \begin{cases}\sum_{n} C_{n} \frac{R_{1 n}^{(1)}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \mathrm{i} \xi)}{R_{1 n}^{(1)}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \mathrm{i} c / a e)} S_{1 n}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \eta) e^{\gamma t}, & \xi<c / a e  \tag{4.4}\\ \sum_{l} \widehat{C}_{l} \frac{\left.Q_{l}^{1} \mathrm{i} \xi\right)}{Q_{l}^{1}(\mathrm{i} c / a e)} P_{l}^{1}(\eta) e^{\gamma t}, & \xi>c / a e\end{cases}
$$

Since $\chi$ and $\nabla \chi$ are continuous across the conducting boundary,

$$
\sum_{n} C_{n} d_{l}^{1 n}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma)=\widehat{C}_{l+1}, \quad \sum_{n} C_{n} \partial_{\xi}\left(\log R_{1 n}^{(1)}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \mathrm{i} \xi)\right)=\widehat{C}_{l+1} \partial_{\xi}\left(\log Q_{l+1}^{1}(\mathrm{i} \xi)\right), \quad \text { at } \xi=c / a e,
$$

using $S_{m n}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \eta)=\sum_{l \geq 0}^{\prime} d_{l}^{m n}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma) P_{l+m}^{m}(\eta)$, where the primed summation is over $l=n-m \bmod 2$, and $S_{m n}(0, \eta)=P_{n}^{m}(\eta)$. Note $d_{j}^{m n}(0)=\delta_{l}^{n-m}$. This yields two homogeneous linear systems $\sum_{n} A_{l n}(\sigma) C_{n}=0$, where $l(\geq 0)$ and $n-1(\geq 0)$ are either both odd or both even, and

$$
A_{l n}(\sigma):=\left\{\xi \partial_{\xi}\left(\log R_{1 n}^{(1)}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \mathrm{i} \xi)\right)-\xi \partial_{\xi}\left(\log Q_{l}^{1}(\mathrm{i} \xi)\right)\right\} d_{l}^{1 n}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma), \quad \xi=c / a e
$$

For non-trivial solutions $\operatorname{det}\left[A_{\ln }(\sigma)\right]=0$, which determines $\sigma$. The $\chi$-modes are even (odd) in the equator if $n$ is odd (even). In the spherical limit $a / c \rightarrow 1, a \rightarrow 1, e \rightarrow 0, \xi=c / a e \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \xi \rightarrow \sqrt{-\gamma}$, $\xi \partial_{\xi}\left(\log R_{1 n}^{(1)}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \mathrm{i} \xi)\right) \rightarrow \sqrt{-\gamma} j_{n}^{\prime}(\sqrt{-\gamma}) / j_{n}(\sqrt{-\gamma})$ and $\xi \partial_{\xi}\left[\log Q_{l+1}^{1}(i \xi)\right] \rightarrow-l-2$, using (Flammer, 2005, eq.(4.1.15)) and (Olver et al., 2010, eqs.(14.8.15), (14.3.10)),

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{m n}^{(1)}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma, \mathrm{i} \xi) & =N_{m n}^{-1}\left(1+\xi^{-2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sum_{l \geq 0}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{l+m-n} \frac{(l+2 m)!}{l!} d_{l}^{m n}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma) j_{l+m}(\sigma \xi) \\
e^{-\mathrm{i} \mu \pi} \frac{Q_{\nu}^{\mu}(w)}{\Gamma(\nu+\mu+1)} & =\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}^{\mu}(w) \sim \frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)(2 w)^{\nu+1}}, \quad \text { as }|w| \rightarrow \infty ; \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the normalisation factor $N_{m n}:=\sum_{l \geq 0}^{\prime} d_{l}^{m n}(-\mathrm{i} \sigma)(l+2 m)!/ l!$. Hence as $a / c \rightarrow 1, A_{l n}(\sigma) \rightarrow \sqrt{-\gamma} j_{n-1}(\sqrt{-\gamma}) \delta_{l}^{n-1} / j_{n}(\sqrt{ }$ and $\gamma \rightarrow-j_{n-1, k}^{2}, n=1,2, \ldots$, recovering the $m=0$ poloidal modes in (4.1). The zeros of $\operatorname{det}\left[A_{l n}(\sigma)\right]=0$ for $e>0$ and hence the axisymmetric meridional growth rates $\gamma_{n k}^{\mathrm{m}}(a, c)$ can thus be found by continuation from $e=0$. By continuity the cell structure of the spherical free-decay modes extends to $e>0$. The growth rates $\gamma_{n k}^{\mathrm{m}}(1, c)=\dot{\gamma}_{n k}^{\mathrm{m}}(c)$ for $a=1$ (suppressing $R_{\mathrm{m}}=0$ ) were computed in Mathematica 8, but note the angular coefficients $d_{l}^{1 k}$ are not intrinsic functions (Emig et al., 2008, Falloon et al., 2003). The decay rate functions $\dot{\gamma}_{1,1}^{\mathrm{m}}(c / a)$ and $\dot{\gamma}_{2,1}^{\mathrm{m}}(c / a)$ are given in table 3 of Appendix C for $c / a=0.01(0.01) 0.1(0.05) 1$. The relative errors in the numerical eigenvalues are shown in figure 2. There is excellent agreement with the analytic solutions, but truncation levels must increase substantially for $c / a=0.01$.

### 4.2 Free-Decay Solutions for Small Ellipticity

For small ellipticity $e \ll 1$ free-decay solutions can be expanded in powers of $e^{2}$. Only the growth rate to first order is calculated here; the modes are derived elsewhere (Ivers, 2017). The degeneracy in the decay rates of $S_{n}^{m}$ and $T_{n-1}^{m}$ splits if $e>0$ and motivates the following expansion in $e^{2}$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
T_{n-1}^{m}=T_{n-1,0}^{m}+e^{2} T_{n-1,2}^{m}+\ldots, & S_{n}^{m}=S_{n, 0}^{m}+e^{2} S_{n, 2}^{m}+\ldots, & \gamma=\gamma_{0}+e^{2} \gamma_{2}+\ldots \\
T_{n-1 \pm 2 l}^{m}=T_{n-1 \pm 2 l, 2 l}^{m} e^{2 l}+\ldots, & S_{n \pm 2 l}^{m}=S_{n \pm 2 l, 2 l}^{m} e^{2 l}+\ldots, & l \geq 1, \tag{4.7}
\end{array}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}=-\lambda^{2}, T_{n-1-2 l}^{m}=0$ if $l>(n-1-m) / 2$ and $S_{n-2 l}^{m}=0$ if $l>(n-m) / 2$. Let $\lambda=j_{n-1, k}$ and suppress $k$ below. The $l=0$ terms are spherical free-decay modes: if $r<1, S_{n, 0}^{m}=\sigma j_{n}(\lambda r)$ and $T_{n-1,0}^{m}=i \tau j_{n-1}(\lambda r)$, where $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are constants with typically $\sigma \tau \neq 0$; and if $r>1, S_{n, 0}^{m}=\sigma j_{n}(\lambda) r^{-n-1}$ and $T_{n-1,0}^{m}=0$.


Figure 2: Relative errors of axisymmetric meridional $\gamma_{n k}^{\mathrm{m}}$ (left) and azimuthal $\gamma_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}$ (right) free-decay rates versus $0.01 \leq c / a \leq 1$ at different truncation levels $\left(N, J, J_{\xi}\right)$ for the values of $\left(n, k, N, J, J_{\xi}\right)$ shown.

Substitute expansions (4.6) and (4.7) into the interior equations (3.4) and (3.5), and the exterior equations (3.12) and (3.13). Several useful factors of $1-e^{2}$, which affect only subdominant terms, are retained for simplicity.

Since $\mathrm{D}_{n} j_{n}(\lambda r)=\gamma_{0} j_{n}(\lambda r)$, equations (3.4) and (3.5) are satisfied to $\mathcal{O}(1)$. To $\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right)$ they give, applying the recurrence relations for spherical Bessel functions, $\mathrm{d}_{n+1} j_{n}(\lambda r)=\lambda j_{n-1}(\lambda r)$ and $\mathrm{d}_{-n} j_{n}(\lambda r)=$ $-\lambda j_{n+1}(\lambda r)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\gamma_{0}-\mathrm{D}_{n-1}\right) T_{n-1,2}^{m} & =-\frac{i}{1-e^{2}}\left\{\frac{2 m c_{n}^{m}}{n(n-1)} \sigma \gamma_{0} \lambda-\left(C_{n-1}^{m} \gamma_{0}-\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\right) \tau\right\} j_{n-1}(\lambda r)  \tag{4.8}\\
\left(\gamma_{0}-\mathrm{D}_{n}\right) S_{n, 2}^{m} & =\frac{1}{1-e^{2}}\left\{\left(C_{n}^{m} \gamma_{0}-\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\right) \sigma+\frac{2 m c_{n}^{m}}{n(n+1)} \tau \lambda\right\} j_{n}(\lambda r) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}:=\gamma_{2}\left(1-e^{2}\right)$ and $C_{n}^{m}$ is given by (3.9). In the exterior $r>1$, the $\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right)$ equations from (3.12) and (3.13) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n-1,2}^{m}=\frac{i m(n+1) c_{n}^{m}}{(n-1) n} \mathrm{~d}_{n+1} S_{n, 0}^{m}=0, \quad \mathrm{D}_{n} S_{n, 2}^{m}=\widehat{C}_{n}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{n} S_{n, 0}^{m}=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the boundary and matching conditions (3.3) imply the boundary conditions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n-1,2}^{m}=0, \quad \mathrm{~d}_{n+1} S_{n, 2}^{m}=0, \quad \text { at } r=1- \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the growth rate multiply (4.8) by $r^{2} j_{n-1}(\lambda r)$, (4.9) by $r^{2} j_{n}(\lambda r)$, and integrate $r$ over $[0,1]$. Two integrations by parts, the boundary conditions (4.11), $\left(\gamma_{0}-\mathrm{D}_{p}\right) j_{p}(\lambda r)=0$ and $\int_{0}^{1} r^{2} j_{p}^{2}(\lambda r) \mathrm{d} r \neq 0$ yield the solvability conditions

$$
\frac{2 m c_{n}^{m}}{n(n-1)} \sigma \gamma_{0} \lambda-\left(C_{n-1}^{m} \gamma_{0}-\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\right) \tau=0, \quad\left(C_{n}^{m} \gamma_{0}-\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}\right) \sigma+\frac{2 m c_{n}^{m}}{n(n+1)} \tau \lambda=0
$$

If $\sigma \tau \neq 0,\left(C_{n-1}^{m}-\widetilde{\gamma}_{2} / \gamma_{0}\right)\left(C_{n}^{m}-\widetilde{\gamma}_{2} / \gamma_{0}\right)=\left(2 m c_{n}^{m}\right)^{2} /\left[\left(n^{2}-1\right) n^{2}\right]$. Thus $\gamma={ }^{ \pm} \gamma_{n}^{m}(e)+O\left(e^{4}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{ \pm} \gamma_{n}^{m}(e):=\gamma_{0}+\frac{e^{2} \widetilde{\gamma}_{2}}{1-e^{2}}, \quad \frac{\widetilde{\gamma}_{2}}{\gamma_{0}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(C_{n-1}^{m}+C_{n}^{m}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(C_{n}^{m}-C_{n-1}^{m}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(4 m c_{n}^{m}\right)^{2}}{\left(n^{2}-1\right) n^{2}}}>0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $e>0,{ }^{+} \gamma_{n}^{m}(e)>^{-} \gamma_{n}^{m}(e)$. Since ${ }^{ \pm} \gamma_{n}^{-m}={ }^{ \pm} \gamma_{n}^{m}$ we consider only $m \geq 0$. If $m=n$ there is one non-trivial root, $\widetilde{\gamma}_{2} / \gamma_{0}=C_{n}^{n}=(n+3) /[(n+1)(2 n+3)]$ and $\tau=0$. If $m=0$, either $\sigma=0$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{2} / \gamma_{0}=C_{n-1}^{0}$, or $\tau=0$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{2} / \gamma_{0}=C_{n}^{0}$. Thus, for $n>1$ and $k \geq 1$ (restoring $k$ ) there $2 n+1$ modes: ${ }^{-} \gamma_{n k}^{m}$ for $m=0: n-1$ and ${ }^{+} \gamma_{n k}^{m}$ for $m=0: n$; for $n=1$ there are two modes, ${ }^{-} \gamma_{1, k}^{0}$ and ${ }^{+} \gamma_{1, k}^{1}$. Figure 3 compares computed values of $\gamma$ with ${ }^{ \pm} \gamma_{4,1}^{m}$ and the zero $j_{3,1} \approx 6.98793$ on $0 \leq e \leq 0.4$, i.e. $\gamma_{0}=-48.8312$ and $1 \leq a / c \leq 5 / \sqrt{21} \approx 1.09$. Using an averaged sub-dominant denominator $1-\frac{1}{2} e^{2}$ gives better agreement than either $1-e^{2}$ or 1 for $e$ near 0.4.


Figure 3: Splitting of free-decay modes. Comparison of computed $\gamma$ with (4.12) for small ellipticity $0 \leq e \leq$ 0.4. Solid and broken curves are ${ }^{ \pm} \gamma_{4,1}^{m}$ with averaged denominator. Symbols $\Delta, \circ,+, \diamond, \square$ are computed values with $\left(N, J, J_{\xi}\right)=(20,800,200)$ for $m=0,1,2,3,4$. The ${ }^{+} \gamma_{4,1}^{0}$ and ${ }^{+} \gamma_{4,1}^{2}$ curves are different.

### 4.3 Non-Axisymmetric Free-Decay Solutions

The $n=1, k=1$ modes are ${ }^{-} \gamma_{1,1}^{0}=-\left(\frac{4}{5}+\frac{1}{5}(a / c)^{2}\right) \pi^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{4}\right)=\dot{\gamma}_{1,1}^{\mathrm{m}}$, shown in table 3 for $0.01 \leq c / a \leq 1$ and ${ }^{+} \gamma_{1,1}^{1}=-\left(\frac{3}{5}+\frac{2}{5}(a / c)^{2}\right) \pi^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{4}\right)$, shown in table 2 for $1 \leq a / c \leq 25$. For $a / c=1.01$, the leading term gives ${ }^{+} \gamma_{1,1}^{1} \approx-9.94896$ compared to the eigenvalue -9.94890 computed with $\left(N, J, J_{\xi}\right)=(40,400,200)$; for $a / c=1.1,{ }^{+} \gamma_{1,1}^{1} \approx-10.699$ compared to the eigenvalue -10.695 . Typical spherical harmonic coefficients are shown in figure 4. Since $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0}$ if and only if $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0}$ at a point, a magnetically hidden dynamo occurs only if $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{0}$ in $E^{3} \backslash V$. Unlike the sphere the only hidden free-decay modes in a spheroid are the $m=0$ toroidal modes.

### 4.4 Dynamos with Axisymmetric Flows

The dynamo action of three axisymmetric flows is now considered for aspect ratios $1 \leq a / c \leq 25$. These three flows are constructed by choosing as $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ in $\dot{V}$ three spherical flows of Dudley and James (1989) which exhibit dynamo action, and transforming them to kinematically feasible spheroidal flows $\mathbf{v}$ in $V$ [see the discussion following (2.14)]. Axisymmetry of $\stackrel{\circ}{ }$ is preserved under the transformation to $\mathbf{v}$ if the symmetry axes of $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ and the spheroid $V$ are aligned. In cylindrical polar coordinates $(s, \phi, z)$ and $(\stackrel{\circ}{s}, \phi, \stackrel{\circ}{z})$ with common unit vectors $\left(\mathbf{1}_{s}, \mathbf{1}_{\phi}, \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)$, where $s$ is the physical cylindrical radius, the scale transformation (2.4) is $s=a s$, $z=c \dot{z}, v_{s}=a \dot{v}_{s}, v_{\phi}=a \dot{\circ}_{\phi}$ and $v_{z}=c \dot{v}_{z}$. An incompressible axisymmetric flow has the meridional-azimuthal representation $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{m}}+\omega s \mathbf{1}_{\phi}$ where $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{m}}=\nabla \times\left(\psi \mathbf{1}_{\phi} / s\right)$, and $\dot{\mathbf{v}}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{m}}+\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}(\stackrel{\circ}{s}, \dot{z}) s \mathbf{1}_{\phi}$ where $\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathrm{m}}=\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\dot{\psi} \mathbf{1}_{\phi} / \stackrel{\circ}{s}\right)$. Hence the stream functions and differential rotations are related by $\psi(s, z)=a^{2} c \dot{\psi}(s / a, z / c)$ and $\omega(s, z)=$ $\check{\omega}(s / a, z / c)$. The Dudley and James (1989) spherical dynamo flows are of the form

$$
\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}}=\epsilon \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\frac{\dot{\psi}}{r \sin \theta} \mathbf{1}_{\phi}\right)+\stackrel{\circ}{\omega} r \sin \theta \mathbf{1}_{\phi}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a tuning parameter (Latter and Ivers, 2010). The associated toroidal-poloidal velocity potentials $t$ and $s$ are given by $\partial_{\theta} s=-\dot{\psi} / r \sin \theta$ and $\partial_{\theta} t=-\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} r \sin \theta$. If $\dot{\psi}_{1}:=r \sin \pi r \sin ^{2} \theta, \dot{\psi}_{2}:=3 r^{2} \sin \pi r \sin ^{2} \theta \cos \theta$,


Figure 4: The $S_{1}^{1}(r)$ and $T_{n}^{1}(r)$ coefficient functions of ${ }^{+} \gamma_{1,1}^{1}$ for $n \leq 4$. The toroidal coefficients $T_{2}^{1}, T_{4}^{1}$ are non-zero in the insulating exterior $r>1$ and their first derivatives have a jump discontinuity at $r=1$.
$\stackrel{\circ}{\omega}_{1}:=r^{-1} \sin \pi r, \stackrel{\circ}{\omega}_{2}:=3 \sin \pi r \cos \theta$, the three flows are $\left(\dot{\psi}_{1}, \stackrel{\circ}{\omega}_{1}\right),\left(\dot{\psi}_{2}, \stackrel{\circ}{\omega}_{1}\right),\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\psi}_{2}, \stackrel{\circ}{\omega}_{2}\right)$ with $\epsilon=0.17,0.13,0.14$ respectively, i.e. in toroidal-poloidal form,
(a) $s_{1} t_{1}$-flow: $s=t=\sin \pi r \cos \theta, s_{1}^{0}=t_{1}^{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \pi r, \epsilon=0.17$;
(b) $s_{2} t_{1}$-flow: $s=\frac{3}{2} r \sin \pi r \cos ^{2} \theta, t=\sin \pi r \cos \theta, s_{2}^{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} r \sin \pi r, t_{1}^{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \pi r, \epsilon=0.13$;
(c) $s_{2} t_{2}$-flow: $s=t=\frac{3}{2} r \sin \pi r \cos ^{2} \theta, s_{2}^{0}=t_{2}^{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} r \sin \pi r, \epsilon=0.14$;
using $Y_{1}^{0}=\sqrt{3} \cos \theta$ and $Y_{2}^{0}=\sqrt{5}\left(-1+3 \cos ^{2} \theta\right) / 2$. The flows are shown in figure for $a / c=5$.


Figure 5: Stream functions $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ and azimuthal velocities $s \stackrel{\circ}{\omega}_{1}$ and $s \grave{\omega}_{2}$ for $a / c=5$.

Since the velocities are axisymmetric the magnetic field decouples into toroidal-poloidal chains $S_{m}^{m}, T_{m}^{m}, S_{m+1}^{m}, T_{m+1}^{m}, \ldots$ for $m=0,1,2, \ldots$ (omit $S_{0}^{0}, T_{0}^{0}$ ). The $s_{2} t_{1}$-flow is equatorially symmetric (ES), i.e. it has a chain of the form $t_{1}^{0}, s_{2}^{0}, t_{3}^{0}, s_{4}^{0}, \ldots$, so the magnetic field decouples into an equatorially anti-symmetric (EA) field with the chain $T_{m}^{m}, S_{m+1}^{m}, T_{m+2}^{m}, \ldots$ or an ES field with the chain $S_{m}^{m}, T_{m+1}^{m}, S_{m+2}^{m}, \ldots$ The $s_{1} t_{1}$ - and $s_{2} t_{2}$-flows are not ES so the magnetic field has no EA/ES symmetry. Numerically the coefficient chains are truncated at degree $N$. Critical dynamo solutions with $\operatorname{Re} \gamma=0=\operatorname{Re} \gamma$ are computed. Results for the three flows are shown in figure 6 and in table 2 For a sphere $(a / c=1)$ the critical magnetic Reynolds number and


Figure 6: Critical magnetic Reynolds numbers $\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and angular frequency $\stackrel{\circ}{\omega}_{\mathrm{c}}$ vs $a / c=5$ for the flows (top to bottom) $s_{1} t_{1}, s_{2} t_{1}$ and $s_{2} t_{2}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{c}}\right.$ only).
frequency are consistent with Dudley and James (1989). The slopes of the magnetic Reynolds number plots for $a / c \geq 5$ indicate $\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{c}} \propto(a / c)^{2.0}$ for $s_{1} t_{1}$, and $\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\mathrm{c}} \propto(a / c)^{1.9}$ for $s_{2} t_{1}$ and $s_{2} t_{2}$. Truncation levels $\left(N, J, J_{\xi}\right)$ were: for the $s_{1} t_{1}$ flow, $(80,800,100)$ for $a / c=3,4,(80,800,200)$ for $5 \leq a / c<10$ and $(80,1600,200)$ for $10 \leq a / c \leq 25$; for the $s_{2} t_{1}$ flow, $(80,800,100)$ for $a / c<5$ and $(80,800,200)$ for $a / c \geq 5$; and for the $s_{2} t_{2}$ flow, (80, 800, 200).

| $\mathbf{v}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $s_{1} t_{1}$ |  | $s_{2} t_{1}$ |  | $s_{2} t_{2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a / c$ | ${ }^{+} \gamma_{1,1}^{1}$ | $\stackrel{R}{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\operatorname{Im} \dot{\gamma}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\stackrel{R}{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\operatorname{Im} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\stackrel{R}{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 1 | $-\pi^{2}$ | 155.585 | -34.1440 | 94.9263 | -18.2102 | 53.5624 |
| 1.2 | -11.592 | 194.942 | -41.9226 | 102.3607 | -18.9060 | 62.4924 |
| 1.5 | -14.689 | 270.313 | -55.986 | 119.2005 | -20.8543 | 79.1692 |
| 2 | -21.108 | 441.614 | -85.738 | 158.6265 | -25.6386 | 115.1571 |
| 3 | -38.223 | 957.444 | -168.675 | 273.0472 | -39.1726 | 216.5595 |
| 4 | -60.643 | 1701.689 | -283.199 | 431.8008 | -57.2683 | 356.0900 |
| 5 | -88.189 | 2671.595 | -429.725 | 633.9955 | -79.8498 | 533.0085 |
| 6 | -120.80 | 3865.436 | -608.442 | 879.4256 | -106.970 | 746.9163 |
| 7 | -158.45 | 5282.018 | -819.416 | 1167.983 | -138.665 | 997.5940 |
| 8 | -201.11 | 6920.498 | -1062.669 | 1499.612 | -174.961 | 1284.916 |
| 9 | -248.79 | 8780.251 | -1338.204 | 1874.280 | -215.874 | 1608.804 |
| 10 | -301.46 | 10860.64 | -1646.07 | 2291.963 | -261.412 | 1969.214 |
| 20 | -1102 | 43782.25 | -6501.62 | 8832.98 | -972.20 | 7578.5 |
| 25 | -1689 | 68486.7 | -10141.2 | 13715.5 | -1502.2 | 11750 |

Table 2: Critical magnetic Reynold's number $\stackrel{\circ}{R}_{\text {c }}$ vs $a / c$ for the $s_{1} t_{1}$ and $s_{2} t_{2}$ flows with $m=1$ magnetic fields, and the $s_{2} t_{1}$ flow with an EA (no ES dynamo has been found) $m=1$ magnetic field. For most aspect $\operatorname{ratios}\left(N, J, J_{\xi}\right)=(20,200,50)$. For the $s_{2} t_{2}$ flow $\operatorname{Im} \dot{\gamma}_{\mathrm{c}}=0$.

Magnetic field plots are constructed for the normal, tangential and azimuthal components in the natural orthonormal basis $\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathbf{1}_{\phi}\right), \mathbf{B}=B_{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{n}}+B_{\mathrm{t}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{t}}+B_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{1}_{\phi}$. Geometrically, $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{t}}$ are normal and tangent to the $r$-surface at any point, and lie in the meridional plane. This is a hybrid non-coordinate basis since $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbf{e}^{1} /\left|\mathbf{e}^{1}\right|=\mathbf{n} /|\mathbf{n}|, \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathbf{e}_{2} /\left|\mathbf{e}_{2}\right|=\mathbf{e}_{\theta} /\left|\mathbf{e}_{\theta}\right|$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\phi}=\mathbf{e}^{3} /\left|\mathbf{e}^{3}\right|=\mathbf{e}_{3} /\left|\mathbf{e}_{3}\right|=\mathbf{e}_{\phi} /\left|\mathbf{e}_{\phi}\right|$. Now $|\mathbf{n}| B_{\mathrm{n}}=$ $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{B}=r \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{r}$, thus $B_{\mathrm{n}}=r \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{r} /|\mathbf{n}|$, where $a c|\mathbf{n}|=r \sqrt{a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+c^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}$. Also, since $\mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{r} \mathbf{e}_{r}+\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\theta} \mathbf{e}_{\theta}+\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\phi} \mathbf{e}_{\phi}, B_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \mathbf{B}=\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{r} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{r}+\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta}$, where $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{r}=\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta} /\left|\mathbf{e}_{\theta}\right|=\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right) \sin \theta \cos \theta /\left|\mathbf{e}_{\theta}\right|$
and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{t}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta}=\left|\mathbf{e}_{\theta}\right|=\sqrt{a^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+c^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}$. Lastly, $B_{\mathrm{a}}=c \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\phi}$. The components $\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{r}, \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\theta}, \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\phi}$ are computed from $T$ and $S$ in $\dot{E}^{3}$ as in the spherical case. The critical magnetic fields of the $s_{1} t_{1}$-flow are shown in figure 7 for $a / c=10$ and figure 8 for $a / c=5$. Strong magnetic field is confined near the axis with very weak field towards the periphery of the spheroid, both internally and externally. The fields are reminiscent of the spherical results of Latter and Ivers (2010) at much larger magnetic Reynolds numbers. The critical fields of the $s_{2} t_{1}$-flow and $s_{2} t_{2}$-flow for $a / c=5$ are shown in figures 9 and 10 respectively. Strong magnetic field occurs toward the periphery for the $s_{2} t_{1}$-flow, both internally and externally. For the $s_{2} t_{2}$-flow, internally the strongest magnetic field occurs near the axis but there is also strong field toward the periphery; externally the strongest field occurs at the periphery.

## 5 Alternative Methods for the Insulating Exterior

Two alternative solution methods for the insulating exterior are given in this section. The first method eliminates $T_{n}^{m}$ from the system of exterior equations. Solving (3.12) for $T_{n}^{m}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{m}=\frac{\mathrm{i} m e^{2}}{\lambda_{n}-e^{2} m^{2}}\left\{-(n-1) c_{n}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{1-n} S_{n-1}^{m}+(n+2) c_{n+1}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{n+2} S_{n+1}^{m}\right\}, \quad \text { in } r \geq 1- \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe from the matching conditions (3.3) on $T_{n}^{m}$ and $S_{n}^{m}$ that equation (5.1) holds at $r=1 \pm$. Also, since $|m| \leq n$ and $e^{2}<1<n(n+1) / m^{2}, \lambda_{n} \neq e^{2} m^{2}$, there are no zero divisors. There is a simpler equation than (3.12) for $m \neq 0$, the spectral form of (2.20),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(n-1) c_{n}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{1-n} T_{n-1}^{m}+(n+2) c_{n+1}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{n+2} T_{n+1}^{m}+i m \mathrm{D}_{n} S_{n}^{m}=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be derived from (2.29) and used with (5.1). However, explicit elimination of $T_{n \pm 1}^{m}$ from (5.2) or (3.13) using (5.1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{n} S_{n}^{m}+e^{2}\left\{\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{\lambda_{n-1}-e^{2} m^{2}} c_{n-1, n}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{1-n, 2-n} S_{n-2}^{m}-\frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{\lambda_{n-1}-e^{2} m^{2}} c_{n, n}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{n} S_{n}^{m}\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\frac{n(n+2)}{\lambda_{n+1}-e^{2} m^{2}} c_{n+1, n+1}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{n} S_{n}^{m}+\frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{\lambda_{n+1}-e^{2} m^{2}} c_{n+1, n+2}^{m} \mathrm{D}_{n+2, n+3} S_{n+2}^{m}\right\}=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5.3), which is valid for all $m$, can be used in $r>1$ together with (5.1) at $r=1-$.
The second method replaces the system of equations for $T_{n}^{m}$ and $S_{n}^{m}$ in the exterior by a coupled system of boundary conditions at $r=1-$, derived from (15.1) and relations between the scalar, toroidal and poloidal potentials $\Psi, T$ and $S$. Laplace's equation $\nabla^{2} \Psi=0$ separates in the confocal oblate spheroidal coordinates (4.2). The exterior solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=\sum_{n \geq 1, m} \Psi_{n}^{m} Q_{n}^{m}(\mathrm{i} \xi) P_{n}^{m}(\eta) e^{\mathrm{i} m \phi}, \quad \text { in } \xi>c / d \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The homoeoidal coordinates (2.1) and confocal coordinates (4.2) are related by $s=\operatorname{ar} \sin \theta=d \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}} \sqrt{1-\eta^{2}}$ and $z=c \cos \theta=d \xi \eta$. Solving for $r$ and $\theta$ gives

$$
r^{2}=\frac{d^{2}}{a^{2}}\left(1+\xi^{2}\right)\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)+\frac{d^{2}}{c^{2}} \xi^{2} \eta^{2}, \quad \tan \theta=\frac{c}{a} \frac{\sqrt{1+\xi^{2}} \sqrt{1-\eta^{2}}}{\xi \eta} .
$$

The $r$ - and $\xi$-surfaces coincide if and only if the first equation holds for all $|\eta| \leq 1$. This is true if and only if $r^{2} / d^{2}=\left(1+\xi^{2}\right) / a^{2}=\xi^{2} / c^{2}$, i.e. $\xi=c / a e$ and $r=\xi d / c=1$. Thus only the boundary spheroid $\Sigma$ is both an $r$-surface and an $\xi$-surface.

The vector field $\mathbf{n}$ defined in (1.2) is normal to $r$-surfaces and can be written in the form $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1}_{s} r \sin \theta / a+$ $\mathbf{1}_{z} r \cos \theta / c$. On $\Sigma, r=1$ and $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1}_{s} \sin \theta / a+\mathbf{1}_{z} \cos \theta / c$. The confocal $\xi$ basis vector $\mathbf{e}_{\xi}:=\partial_{\xi} \mathbf{r}=$ $d\left(\xi \sqrt{1-\eta^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{s}+\eta \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right) / \sqrt{1+\xi^{2}}$ is normal to the $\xi$-surface at any point. In fact, $\mathbf{e}_{\xi}=c d \mathbf{n}$ on $\Sigma$ where $\xi=c / a e$ and $\eta=\cos \theta$. Thus, using (2.6), $\partial_{\xi} \Psi=\mathbf{e}_{\xi} \cdot \nabla \Psi=-\mathbf{e}_{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{B}=-c d \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}=-c d \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}^{\circ}=c d \AA^{2} S$. Thus from (5.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{n}^{m} Q_{n}^{m \prime}(\mathrm{i} c / a e)=\mathrm{i} a c e \lambda_{n} S_{n}^{m}, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime indicates the derivative. A second relation between $\Psi, S$ and $T$ is given by the horizontal divergence of $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \Psi=-\mathbf{D}^{-1} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}$. Using (2.16) (b) and $\AA^{2} \equiv\left(r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\AA^{2} \Psi=-a^{2} r^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)_{\mathrm{h}}=-a^{2} \grave{\Lambda}^{2} \partial_{r}(r S)+e^{2} a^{2} r^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot\left(\dot{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)_{\mathrm{h}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7: Critical magnetic field for the $s_{1} t_{1}$ flow with $a / c=10$. Real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of $B_{r}, B_{\theta}, B_{\phi}$ in $E^{3}$ (top three rows) and of $B_{\mathrm{n}}, B_{\mathrm{t}}, B_{\mathrm{a}}$ (bottom three rows).


Figure 8: Critical magnetic field for the $s_{1} t_{1}$ flow with $a / c=5$. Real and imaginary parts of $B_{r}, B_{\theta}, B_{\phi}$ in $E^{3}$ (top two rows) and of $B_{\mathrm{n}}, B_{\mathrm{t}}, B_{\mathrm{a}}$ (bottom two rows).


Figure 9: As in figure 8 but for the $s_{2} t_{1}$ flow.


Figure 10: Critical magnetic field for the $s_{2} t_{2}$ flow with $a / c=5$. Fields $B_{r}, B_{\theta}, B_{\phi}$ in $E^{3}$ (top) and $B_{\mathrm{n}}, B_{\mathrm{t}}$, $B_{\mathrm{a}}$ (bottom).

Substituting (5.4) and evaluating (5.6) on $\Sigma(\xi=c / a e, \eta=\cos \theta$ and $r=1)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r} S_{n}^{m}+S_{n}^{m}+a^{-2} \Psi_{n}^{m} Q_{n}^{m}(\mathrm{i} c / a e)=e^{2} \mathcal{H}_{n}^{m}\left\{\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right\} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

introducing the horizontal divergence transform defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{n}^{m}\{\mathbf{F}\}:=-\frac{1}{4 \pi \lambda_{n}} \oint Y_{n}^{m *} r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~d} \Omega \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $\Psi_{n}^{m}$ from (5.5) and (5.8) yields the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r} S_{n}^{m}+\left(1+e^{2} \lambda_{n} \frac{(\mathrm{i} c / a e) Q_{n}^{m}(\mathrm{i} c / a e)}{Q_{n}^{m \prime}(\mathrm{i} c / a e)}\right) S_{n}^{m}=e^{2} \mathcal{H}_{n}^{m}\left\{\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right\} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $a / c \rightarrow 1, e \rightarrow 0$ and the condition (5.9) reduces to the spherical poloidal insulating boundary condition $\partial_{r} S_{n}^{m}+(n+1) S_{n}^{m} / r=0$, since (Olver et al., 2010, equations(14.3.10),(14.8.15))

$$
e^{-\mathrm{i} \mu \pi} \frac{Q_{\nu}^{\mu}(w)}{\Gamma(\nu+\mu+1)}=\mathbf{Q}_{\nu}^{\mu}(w) \sim \frac{\pi^{1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{3}{2}\right)(2 w)^{\nu+1}}, \quad \text { as }|w| \rightarrow \infty
$$

The horizontal divergence of $f \mathbf{1}_{z}$ for a scalar field $f$ is given by $r \nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot\left(f \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)_{\mathrm{h}}=-\sin \theta \partial_{\theta} f-2 \cos \theta f$. Thus by (3.10) the spherical harmonic expansion for the horizontal divergence of $f \mathbf{1}_{z}$ is given by $r \nabla_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot\left(f \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)_{\mathrm{h}}=$ $-\sum_{n, m}\left\{(n+1) c_{n}^{m} f_{n-1}^{m}-n c_{n+1}^{m} f_{n+1}^{m}\right\} Y_{n}^{m}$. Setting $f=\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z}$ and using (3.11) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{H}_{n}^{m}\left\{\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right\}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}\left\{(n+1) c_{n}^{m}\left[-(n-2) c_{n-1}^{m} d_{2-n} S_{n-2}^{m}-i m T_{n-1}^{m}+(n+1) c_{n}^{m} d_{n+1} S_{n}^{m}\right]\right. \\
&\left.-n c_{n+1}^{m}\left[-n c_{n+1}^{m} d_{-n} S_{n}^{m}-i m T_{n+1}^{m}+(n+3) c_{n+2}^{m} d_{n+3} S_{n+2}^{m}\right]\right\} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

There is a third relation between $\Psi, S$ and $T$ given by the surface curl of $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \Psi=-\mathbf{D}^{-1} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}:$ if $\stackrel{\circ}{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}:=\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{r}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$, then $0=\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \Psi=-\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \cdot\left(\mathbf{D}^{-1} \cdot \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}\right)=-\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \cdot\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}}-e^{2} \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)$, but this is the toroidal equation in the exterior (2.25) (a).

## 6 Conclusion

The method described in $\S 3$ applies to mean field dynamos with a rank- 2 tensor $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-effect, which are more relevant to galactic dynamo models. The magnetic induction equation (2.11) (a) becomes

The method also applies directly to the kinematic dynamo problem in prolate spheroids, and extends easily to tri-axial ellipsoids and to homeoidal ellipsoidal shells (using vector and tensor spherical harmonics). The method can also be applied to thermal convection and magnetoconvection problems. For nonhomeoidal shells such as confocal shells, non-homeoidal toroidal-poloidal fields are required, but derivation of the toroidal-poloidal spectral equations is more difficult (for the confocal case see Schmitt, 2006, Schmitt and Jault, 2004). Work on time-stepping the kinematic dynamo problem is in progress.
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## Appendix A The Horizontal Spectral Equations

The horizontal divergence equations in the conducting region and insulating exterior contain no new information. The spectral form of the horizontal divergence of the magnetic induction equation in the conducting region is $\mathrm{d}_{1}\left(\partial_{\dot{t}}-\mathrm{D}_{n}\right) S_{n}^{m}=\mathcal{H}_{n}^{m}\{\mathbf{F}\}$, where the operator $\mathrm{d}_{1}:=\mathrm{d}_{r}+r^{-1}, \mathbf{F}=e^{2} \partial_{\tilde{z} \tilde{z}} \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}} /\left(1-e^{2}\right)+R_{\mathrm{m}} \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{v}} \times \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{B}})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{n}^{m}$ is defined by (5.8). The anisotropic magnetic diffusion term is $\mathcal{H}_{n}^{m}\left\{\partial_{\dot{z} \underset{z}{ } \mathbf{B}\}} \mathbf{B}=\mathrm{d}_{1} L_{n}^{m}(T, S)\right.$. In fact, the equation is simply $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ applied to the radial induction equation (2.23).

In the insulating region $r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B})_{\mathrm{h}}=r^{-1}\left(r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot\right)^{2} \partial_{r}(r T)=-\mathrm{d}_{1} \sum_{n, m} \lambda_{n} T_{n}^{m} Y_{n}^{m}$ and

$$
r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot\left(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times B_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)_{\mathrm{h}}=-\mathrm{d}_{1} \sum_{n, m} i m\left\{-(n-1) c_{n}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{1-n} S_{n-1}^{m}+(n+2) c_{n+1}^{m} \mathrm{~d}_{n+2} S_{n+1}^{m}-i m T_{n}^{m}\right\} Y_{n}^{m}
$$

Thus, $r \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mathrm{h}} \cdot\left\{\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \times\left(\mathbf{B}-e^{2} B_{z} \mathbf{1}_{z}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{h}}=0$ is equivalent to applying the operator $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ to the toroidal equation (2.25) (a) in the exterior.

## Appendix B Angular Spectral Forms of the Induction Term

The induction term expansions (B1) and (B2) are derived in Bullard and Gellman (1954) (with an additional factor of $r$ in the toroidal and poloidal potentials),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R}_{\gamma}\{\nabla \times(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})\} & =\sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left\{\left(s_{\alpha} S_{\beta} S_{\gamma}\right)+\left(s_{\alpha} T_{\beta} S_{\gamma}\right)+\left(t_{\alpha} S_{\beta} S_{\gamma}\right)+\left(t_{\alpha} T_{\beta} S_{\gamma}\right)\right\},  \tag{B1}\\
\left(s_{\alpha} S_{\beta} S_{\gamma}\right): & =\frac{1}{2} A_{\alpha \beta \gamma^{*}}\left\{-\lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma} s_{\alpha}\left(r S_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda_{\beta} \lambda_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma}\left(r s_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime} S_{\beta}\right\} / r^{2} \\
\left(s_{\alpha} T_{\beta} S_{\gamma}\right): & =E_{\alpha \beta \gamma^{*}} \lambda_{\alpha} s_{\alpha} T_{\beta} / r \\
\left(t_{\alpha} S_{\beta} S_{\gamma}\right) & :=E_{\alpha \beta \gamma^{*}} \lambda_{\beta} t_{\alpha} S_{\beta} / r \\
\left(t_{\alpha} T_{\beta} S_{\gamma}\right): & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

where primes indicate radial derivatives, $\lambda_{\alpha}:=\alpha(\alpha+1), \lambda_{\alpha \beta \gamma}:=\lambda_{\alpha}+\lambda_{\beta}+\lambda_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma}:=\lambda_{\alpha}-\lambda_{\beta}+\lambda_{\gamma}$, etc and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{T}_{\gamma}\{\nabla \times(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})\}=\sum_{\alpha, \beta}\left\{\left(s_{\alpha} S_{\beta} T_{\gamma}\right)+\left(s_{\alpha} T_{\beta} T_{\gamma}\right)+\left(t_{\alpha} S_{\beta} T_{\gamma}\right)+\left(t_{\alpha} T_{\beta} T_{\gamma}\right)\right\},  \tag{B2}\\
&\left(s_{\alpha} S_{\beta} T_{\gamma}\right):=\frac{1}{2} E_{\alpha \beta \gamma^{*}}\left\{\lambda_{\alpha \beta \gamma} s_{\alpha} S_{\beta}-\lambda_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma}}\left[r\left(s_{\alpha} S_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}+r^{2} s_{\alpha}^{\prime} S_{\beta}^{\prime}\right]-\lambda_{\alpha} r^{2} s_{\alpha} S_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda_{\beta} r^{2} s_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} S_{\beta}\right\} / r^{3} \\
&\left(s_{\alpha} T_{\beta} T_{\gamma}\right):=\frac{1}{2} A_{\alpha \beta \gamma^{*}}\left\{-\lambda_{\gamma} \lambda_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma}}\left(s_{\alpha} T_{\beta}+r s_{\alpha}^{\prime} T_{\beta}\right)-\lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma}\left(r s_{\alpha}^{\prime} T_{\beta}+r s_{\alpha} T_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)\right\} / r^{2} \\
&\left(t_{\alpha} S_{\beta} T_{\gamma}\right)\left.:=A_{\alpha \beta \gamma^{*}}\left\{\lambda_{\gamma} \lambda_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma}} t_{\alpha} S_{\beta}+r t_{\alpha} S_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)+\lambda_{\beta} \lambda_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma}\left(r t_{\alpha}^{\prime} S_{\beta}+r t_{\alpha} S_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)\right\} / r \\
&\left(t_{\beta} T_{\gamma}\right):=\lambda_{\gamma} E_{\alpha \beta \gamma^{*}} t_{\alpha} T_{\beta} / r .
\end{align*}
$$

The Adams-Gaunt integral $A_{n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} n_{\gamma}}^{m_{\alpha} m_{\beta} m_{\gamma}}$ and Elsasser dynamo integral $E_{n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} n_{\gamma}}^{m_{\alpha} m_{\beta} m_{\gamma}}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} n_{\gamma}}^{m_{\alpha} m_{\beta} m_{\gamma}}:=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \oint Y_{n_{\alpha}}^{m_{\alpha}} Y_{n_{\beta}}^{m_{\beta}} Y_{n_{\gamma}}^{m_{\gamma}} \mathrm{d} \Omega \\
& E_{n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} n_{\gamma}}^{m_{\alpha} m_{\beta} m_{\gamma}}:=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\partial_{\theta} Y_{n_{\alpha}}^{m_{\alpha}} \partial_{\phi} Y_{n_{\beta}}^{m_{\beta}}-\partial_{\phi} Y_{n_{\alpha}}^{m_{\alpha}} \partial_{\theta} Y_{n_{\beta}}^{m_{\beta}}\right) Y_{\gamma} \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi,
\end{aligned}
$$

In terms of $3 j$-symbols,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=\Lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
n_{\alpha} & n_{\beta} & n_{\gamma} \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
n_{\alpha} & n_{\beta} & n_{\gamma} \\
m_{\alpha} & m_{\beta} & m_{\gamma}
\end{array}\right) \\
& E_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=-i \Lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \Delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
n_{\alpha}+1 & n_{\beta}+1 & n_{\gamma}+1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
n_{\alpha} & n_{\beta} & n_{\gamma} \\
m_{\alpha} & m_{\beta} & m_{\gamma}
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=\sqrt{\frac{\left(n_{\alpha \beta \gamma}+2\right)\left(n_{\alpha \beta \gamma}+4\right)}{4\left(n_{\alpha \beta \gamma}+3\right)}} \sqrt{\left(n_{\bar{\alpha} \beta \gamma}+1\right)\left(n_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma}+1\right)\left(n_{\alpha \beta \bar{\gamma}}+1\right)} .
$$

with $n_{\alpha \beta \gamma}:=n_{\alpha}+n_{\beta}+n_{\gamma}, n_{\alpha \bar{\beta} \gamma}:=n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}+n_{\gamma}$, etc.

## Appendix C Axisymmetric free-decay rates

| $c / a$ | $\dot{\gamma}_{1,1}^{\mathrm{m}} c / a$ | $\dot{\gamma}_{1,2}^{\mathrm{m}} c / a$ | $\dot{\gamma}_{1,1}^{\mathrm{a}}(c / a)^{2}$ | $\dot{\gamma}_{1,2}^{\mathrm{a}}(c / a)^{2}$ | $\dot{\gamma}_{2,1}^{\mathrm{a}}(c / a)^{2}$ | $\dot{\gamma}_{3,1}^{\mathrm{a}}(c / a)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 9.869604401 | 20.190728556 | 20.190728556 | 59.679515944 | 33.217461914 | 48.831193644 |
| 0.95 | 9.573463520 | 20.055037754 | 18.613749809 | 55.143044929 | 31.359968460 | 46.156321167 |
| 0.90 | 9.277209597 | 19.938272263 | 17.113443397 | 51.154471585 | 29.583789242 | 43.268233071 |
| 0.85 | 8.980892306 | 19.843715162 | 15.689963175 | 47.785740476 | 27.888888717 | 40.094806581 |
| 0.80 | 8.684306079 | 19.775475777 | 14.343430635 | 44.987859397 | 26.274897455 | 36.684608046 |
| 0.75 | 8.387619214 | 19.738608214 | 13.073916808 | 42.616368122 | 24.7416363026 | 33.181370561 |
| 0.70 | 8.090742726 | 19.739761920 | 11.881417136 | 40.534934346 | 23.287792006 | 29.720337242 |
| 0.65 | 7.793643821 | 19.787435038 | 10.765816420 | 38.654989408 | 21.912783614 | 26.388556676 |
| 0.60 | 7.496280891 | 19.892913332 | 9.726839734 | 36.924907840 | 20.614917500 | 23.235623972 |
| 0.55 | 7.198600817 | 20.071466941 | 8.663983471 | 35.314099582 | 19.392181612 | 20.289491743 |
| 0.50 | 6.900535321 | 20.344296723 | 7.876418810 | 33.803139044 | 18.242011186 | 17.566210599 |
| 0.45 | 6.601995965 | 20.741754519 | 7.062858522 | 32.378570791 | 17.161256187 | 15.074925162 |
| 0.40 | 6.302867166 | 21.308935269 | 6.321380045 | 31.030259621 | 16.146199525 | 12.820193872 |
| 0.35 | 6.002996288 | 22.115977381 | 5.649209918 | 29.750035017 | 15.192646483 | 10.802780638 |
| 0.30 | 5.702179241 | 23.278482385 | 5.042510539 | 28.530990811 | 14.296089721 | 9.019441606 |
| 0.25 | 5.400138973 | 25.002008642 | 4.496284019 | 27.367114899 | 13.451922975 | 7.462044036 |
| 0.20 | 5.096492218 | 27.692128802 | 4.004589491 | 26.253081717 | 12.655647658 | 6.116720679 |
| 0.15 | 4.790695731 | 29.213416496 | 3.561191068 | 25.184123285 | 11.903020017 | 4.964576007 |
| 0.10 | 4.481954125 | 41.511793699 | 3.160335450 | 24.155938651 | 11.190124920 | 3.984883255 |
| 0.09 | 4.419755597 | 44.576686595 | 3.084822548 | 23.954844700 | 11.051993860 | 3.807895437 |
| 0.08 | 4.357380346 | 48.394890704 | 3.010777197 | 23.755194930 | 10.915281480 | 3.636879220 |
| 0.07 | 4.294814582 | 53.282588634 | 2.938165403 | 23.556960350 | 10.779961450 | 3.471693435 |
| 0.06 | 4.232043027 | 59.763884660 | 2.866954338 | 23.360112471 | 10.646007969 | 3.312200030 |
| 0.05 | 4.169048686 | 68.776654491 | 2.797112297 | 23.164623292 | 10.513395759 | 3.158263906 |
| 0.04 | 4.105812582 | 82.184711526 | 2.728608657 | 22.970465280 | 10.382100054 | 3.009752757 |
| 0.03 | 4.042313418 | 104.306446505 | 2.661413830 | 22.777611361 | 10.252096592 | 2.866536917 |
| 0.02 | 3.978527174 | 143.485205010 | 2.595499228 | 22.586034899 | 10.123361606 | 2.728489210 |
| 0.01 | 3.914426594 | 266.247562876 | 2.530837220 | 22.651011290 | 9.995871812 | 2.595484809 |

Table 3: Axisymmetric meridional $\dot{\gamma}_{n k}^{\mathrm{m}}$ and azimuthal $\dot{\gamma}_{n k}^{\mathrm{a}}$ growth rates with weights $c / a$ and $(c / a)^{2}$ for $0.01 \leq c / a \leq 1$.

